Chapter 321

Logistic Regression

Introduction

Logistic regression analysis studies the association between a categorical dependent variable and a set of independent (explanatory) variables. The name logistic regression is used when the dependent variable has only two values, such as 0 and 1 or Yes and No. The name multinomial logistic regression is usually reserved for the case when the dependent variable has three or more unique values, such as Married, Single, Divorced, or Widowed. Although the type of data used for the dependent variable is different from that of multiple regression, the practical use of the procedure is similar.

Logistic regression competes with discriminant analysis as a method for analyzing categorical-response variables. Many statisticians feel that logistic regression is more versatile and better suited for modelling most situations than is discriminant analysis. This is because logistic regression does not assume that the independent variables are normally distributed, as discriminant analysis does.

This program computes binary logistic regression and multinomial logistic regression on both numeric and categorical independent variables. It reports on the regression equation as well as the goodness of fit, odds ratios, confidence limits, likelihood, and deviance. It performs a comprehensive residual analysis including diagnostic residual reports and plots. It can perform an independent variable subset selection search, looking for the best regression model with the fewest independent variables. It provides confidence intervals on predicted values, and provides ROC curves to help determine the best cutoff point for classification. It allows you to validate your results by automatically classifying rows that are not used during the analysis.

The Logit and Logistic Transformations

In multiple regression, a mathematical model of a set of explanatory variables is used to predict the mean of a continuous dependent variable. In logistic regression, a mathematical model of a set of explanatory variables is used to predict a logit transformation of the dependent variable.

Suppose the numerical values of 0 and 1 are assigned to the two outcomes of a binary variable. Often, the 0 represents a negative response and the 1 represents a positive response. The mean of this variable will be the proportion of positive responses. If \( p \) is the proportion of observations with an outcome of 1, then \( 1-p \) is the probability of a outcome of 0. The ratio \( p/(1-p) \) is called the odds and the logit is the logarithm of the odds, or just log odds. Mathematically, the logit transformation is written

\[
\logit(p) = \ln\left(\frac{p}{1-p}\right)
\]
The following table shows the logit for various values of $p$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$P$</th>
<th>Logit($P$)</th>
<th>$P$</th>
<th>Logit($P$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>-6.907</td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td>6.907</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-4.595</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>4.595</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>-2.944</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>2.944</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>-2.197</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>2.197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>-1.386</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>1.386</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>-0.847</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.847</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>-0.405</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note that while $p$ ranges between zero and one, the logit ranges between minus and plus infinity. Also note that the zero logit occurs when $p$ is 0.50.

The logistic transformation is the inverse of the logit transformation. It is written

$$p = \text{logistic}(l) = \frac{e^l}{1 + e^l}$$

### The Log Odds Ratio Transformation

The difference between two log odds can be used to compare two proportions, such as that of males versus females. Mathematically, this difference is written

$$l_1 - l_2 = \logit(p_1) - \logit(p_2)$$

$$= \ln \left( \frac{p_1}{1 - p_1} \right) - \ln \left( \frac{p_2}{1 - p_2} \right)$$

$$= \ln \left( \frac{p_1}{1 - p_1} \right) - \ln \left( \frac{p_2}{1 - p_2} \right)$$

$$= \ln \left( \frac{p_1(1 - p_2)}{p_2(1 - p_1)} \right)$$

$$= \ln(OR_{1,2})$$

This difference is often referred to as the log odds ratio. The odds ratio is often used to compare proportions across groups. Note that the logistic transformation is closely related to the odds ratio. The reverse relationship is

$$OR_{1,2} = e^{(l_1 - l_2)}$$
The Logistic Regression and Logit Models

In logistic regression, a categorical dependent variable $Y$ having $G$ (usually $G = 2$) unique values is regressed on a set of $p$ independent variables $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_p$. For example, $Y$ may be presence or absence of a disease, condition after surgery, or marital status. Since the names of these partitions are arbitrary, we often refer to them by consecutive numbers. That is, in the discussion below, $Y$ will take on the values $1, 2, \ldots, G$. In fact, NCSS allows $Y$ to have both numeric and text values, but the notation is much simpler if integers are used.

Let

$$X = (X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_p)$$

$$B_g = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_{g1} \\ \vdots \\ \beta_{gp} \end{pmatrix}$$

The logistic regression model is given by the $G$ equations

$$\ln \left( \frac{p_g}{p_1} \right) = \ln \left( \frac{p_g}{p_1} \right) + \beta_{g1}X_1 + \beta_{g2}X_2 + \cdots + \beta_{gp}X_p$$

$$= \ln \left( \frac{p_g}{p_1} \right) + XB_g$$

Here, $p_g$ is the probability that an individual with values $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_p$ is in outcome $g$. That is,

$$p_g = \Pr(Y = g | X)$$

Usually $X_1 = 1$ (that is, an intercept is included), but this is not necessary.

The quantities $P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_G$ represent the prior probabilities of outcome membership. If these prior probabilities are assumed equal, then the term $\ln \left( \frac{p_g}{p_1} \right)$ becomes zero and drops out. If the priors are not assumed equal, they change the values of the intercepts in the logistic regression equation.

Outcome one is called the reference value. The regression coefficients $\beta_{11}, \beta_{12}, \ldots, \beta_{1p}$ for the reference value are set to zero. The choice of the reference value is arbitrary. Usually, it is the most frequent value or a control outcome to which the other outcomes are to be compared. This leaves $G-1$ logistic regression equations in the logistic model.

The $\beta$'s are population regression coefficients that are to be estimated from the data. Their estimates are represented by $b$'s. The $\beta$'s represents unknown parameters to be estimated, while the $b$'s are their estimates.

These equations are linear in the logits of $p$. However, in terms of the probabilities, they are nonlinear. The corresponding nonlinear equations are

$$p_g = \Pr(Y = g | X) = \frac{e^{XB_g}}{1 + e^{XB_1} + e^{XB_2} + \cdots + e^{XB_G}}$$

since $e^{XB_1} = 1$ because all of its regression coefficients are zero.

A note on the names of the models. Often, all of these models are referred to as logistic regression models. However, when the independent variables are coded as ANOVA type models, they are sometimes called logit models.
A note about the interpretation of $e^{XB}$ may be useful. Using the fact that $e^{a+b} = e^a (e^b)$, $e^{XB}$ may be re-expressed as follows

$$e^{XB} = e^{\beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \cdots + \beta_p X_p}$$

This shows that the final value is the product of its individual terms.

### Solving the Likelihood Equations

To improve notation, let

$$\pi_{gj} = \text{Prob}(Y = g | X_j)$$

$$\pi_{gj} = \frac{e^{X_j B_g}}{e^{X_j B_g} + e^{X_j B_{g_2}} + \cdots + e^{X_j B_{g_G}}}$$

$$\pi_{gj} = \frac{e^{X_j B_g}}{\sum_{s=1}^{G_s} e^{X_j B_s}}$$

The likelihood for a sample of $N$ observations is then given by

$$L = \prod_{j=1}^{N} \prod_{g=1}^{G} \pi_{gj}^{y_{gj}}$$

where $y_{gj}$ is one if the $j^{th}$ observation is in outcome $g$ and zero otherwise.

Using the fact that $\sum_{g=1}^{G} y_{gj} = 1$, the log likelihood, $L$, is given by

$$L = \ln(L) = \sum_{g=1}^{G} \sum_{j=1}^{N} y_{gj} \ln(\pi_{gj})$$

$$L = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{g=1}^{G} y_{gj} \ln \left( \frac{e^{X_j B_g}}{\sum_{s=1}^{G_s} e^{X_j B_s}} \right)$$

$$L = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \left[ \sum_{g=1}^{G_s} y_{gj} X_j B_g - \ln \left( \sum_{g=1}^{G_s} e^{X_j B_g} \right) \right]$$

Maximum likelihood estimates of the $\beta'$s are those values that maximize this log likelihood equation. This is accomplished by calculating the partial derivatives and setting them to zero. The resulting likelihood equations are

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \beta_{hk}} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} X_{kj} (y_{gj} - \pi_{gj})$$

for $g = 1, 2, \ldots, G$ and $k = 1, 2, \ldots, p$. Actually, since all coefficients are zero for $g = 1$, the effective range of $g$ is from 2 to $G$. 
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Because of the nonlinear nature of the parameters, there is no closed-form solution to these equations and they must be solved iteratively. The Newton-Raphson method as described in Albert and Harris (1987) is used to solve these equations. This method makes use of the information matrix, $I(\beta)$, which is formed from the matrix of second partial derivatives. The elements of the information matrix are given by

$$\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial \beta_i \partial \beta_i'} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} x_{ij} x_{jk} \pi_{ig} (1 - \pi_{ig})$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial \beta_i \partial \beta_k'} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} x_{ij} x_{kj} \pi_{ig} \pi_{tg}$$

The information matrix is used because the asymptotic covariance matrix of the maximum likelihood estimates is equal to the inverse of the information matrix. That is,

$$V(\hat{\beta}) = I(\beta)^{-1}$$

This covariance matrix is used in the calculation of confidence intervals for the regression coefficients, odds ratios, and predicted probabilities.

**Interpretation of Regression Coefficients**

The interpretation of the estimated regression coefficients is not as easy as in multiple regression. In logistic regression, not only is the relationship between $X$ and $Y$ nonlinear, but also, if the dependent variable has more than two unique values, there are several regression equations.

Consider the usual case of a binary dependent variable, $Y$, and a single independent variable, $X$. Assume that $Y$ is coded so it takes on the values 0 and 1. In this case, the logistic regression equation is

$$\ln \left( \frac{p}{1-p} \right) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X$$

Now consider impact of a unit increase in $X$. The logistic regression equation becomes

$$\ln \left( \frac{p'}{1-p'} \right) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 (X + 1)$$

$$= \beta_0 + \beta_1 X + \beta_1$$

We can isolate the slope by taking the difference between these two equations. We have

$$\beta_1 = \beta_0 + \beta_1 (X + 1) - (\beta_0 + \beta_1 X)$$

$$= \ln \left( \frac{p'}{1-p'} \right) - \ln \left( \frac{p}{1-p} \right)$$

$$= \ln \left( \frac{p'}{1-p'} \right) - \ln \left( \frac{p}{1-p} \right)$$

$$= \ln \left( \frac{odds'}{odds} \right)$$
Logistic Regression

That is, $\beta_1$ is the log of the ratio of the odds at $X+1$ and $X$. Removing the logarithm by exponentiating both sides gives

$$e^{\beta_1} = \frac{\text{odds'}}{\text{odds}}$$

The regression coefficient $\beta_1$ is interpreted as the log of the odds ratio comparing the odds after a one unit increase in $X$ to the original odds. Note that, unlike multiple regression, the interpretation of $\beta_1$ depends on the particular value of $X$ since the probability values, the $p$’s, will vary for different $X$.

Binary $X$

When $X$ can take on only two values, say 0 and 1, the above interpretation becomes even simpler. Since there are only two possible values of $X$, there is a unique interpretation for $\beta_1$ given by the log of the odds ratio. In mathematical terms, the meaning of $\beta_1$ is then

$$\beta_1 = \ln \left( \frac{\text{odds}(X = 1)}{\text{odds}(X = 0)} \right)$$

To understand this equation further, consider first what the odds are. The odds itself is the ratio of two probabilities, $p$ and $1-p$. Consider the following table of odds values for various values of $p$. Note that 9:1 is read ‘9 to 1.’

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value of $p$</th>
<th>Odds of $p$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>9:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>4:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.5:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.67:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.25:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.11:1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Now, using a simple example from horse racing, if one horse has 8:1 odds of winning and a second horse has 4:1 odds of winning, how do you compare these two horses? One obvious way is to look at the ratio of their odds. The first horse has twice the odds of winning as the second.

Consider a second example of two slow horses whose odds of winning are 0.1:1 and 0.05:1. Here again, their odds ratio is 2. The message here: the odds ratio gives a relative number. Even though the first horse is twice as likely to win as the first, it is still a long shot.

To completely interpret $\beta_1$, we must take the logarithm of the odds ratio. It is difficult to think in terms of logarithms. However, we can remember that the log of one is zero. So a positive value of $\beta_1$ indicates that the odds of the numerator are larger, while a negative value indicates that the odds of the denominator are larger.

It is may easiest to think in terms of $e^{\beta_1}$ rather than $\beta_1$, because $e^{\beta_1}$ is the odds ratio while $\beta_1$ is the log of the odds ratio. Both quantities are displayed in the reports.

Multiple Independent Variables

When there are multiple independent variables, the interpretation of each regression coefficient becomes more difficult, especially if interaction terms are included in the model. In general, however, the regression coefficient is interpreted the same as above, except that the caveat ‘holding all other independent variables constant’ must be added. The question becomes, can the value of this independent variable be increased by one without changing any of the other variables. If it can, then the interpretation is as before. If not, then some type of conditional statement must be added that accounts for the values of the other variables.
Multinomial Dependent Variable

When the dependent variable has more than two values, there will be more than one regression equation. In fact, the number of regression equations is equal to one less than the number of outcomes. This makes interpretation more difficult because there are several regression coefficients associated with each independent variable. In this case, care must be taken to understand what each regression equation is predicting. Once this is understood, interpretation of each of the $G - 1$ regression coefficients for each variable can proceed as above.

Consider the following example in which there are two independent variables, $X_1$ and $X_2$, and the dependent variable has three groups: $A$, $B$, and $C$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Row</th>
<th>$Y$</th>
<th>$X_1$</th>
<th>$X_2$</th>
<th>$GA$</th>
<th>$GB$</th>
<th>$GC$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Look at the three indicator variables: $GA$, $GB$, and $GC$. They are set to one or zero depending on whether $Y$ takes on the corresponding value. Two regression equations will be generated corresponding to any two of these indicator variables. The value that is not used is called the reference value. Suppose the reference value is $C$. The two regression equations would be

$$\ln\left(\frac{p_A}{p_C}\right) = \beta_{A0} + \beta_{A1}X_1 + \beta_{A2}X_2$$

and

$$\ln\left(\frac{p_B}{p_C}\right) = \beta_{B0} + \beta_{B1}X_1 + \beta_{B2}X_2$$

The two coefficients for $X_1$ in these equations, $\beta_{A1}$ and $\beta_{B1}$, give the change in the log odds of $A$ versus $C$ and $B$ versus $C$ for a one unit change in $X_1$, respectively.

Statistical Tests and Confidence Intervals

Inferences about individual regression coefficients, groups of regression coefficients, goodness-of-fit, mean responses, and predictions of group membership of new observations are all of interest. These inference procedures can be treated by considering hypothesis tests and/or confidence intervals. The inference procedures in logistic regression rely on large sample sizes for accuracy.

Two procedures are available for testing the significance of one or more independent variables in a logistic regression: likelihood ratio tests and Wald tests. Simulation studies usually show that the likelihood ratio test performs better than the Wald test. However, the Wald test is still used to test the significance of individual regression coefficients because of its ease of calculation.

These two testing procedures will be described next.
Likelihood Ratio and Deviance

The Likelihood Ratio test statistic is \(-2\) times the difference between the log likelihoods of two models, one of which is a subset of the other. The distribution of the LR statistic is closely approximated by the chi-square distribution for large sample sizes. The degrees of freedom (DF) of the approximating chi-square distribution is equal to the difference in the number of regression coefficients in the two models. The test is named as a ratio rather than a difference since the difference between two log likelihoods is equal to the log of the ratio of the two likelihoods. That is, if \( L_{\text{full}} \) is the log likelihood of the full model and \( L_{\text{subset}} \) is the log likelihood of a subset of the full model, the likelihood ratio is defined as

\[
LR = -2 \left[ L_{\text{subset}} - L_{\text{full}} \right]
\]

Note that the \(-2\) adjusts LR so the chi-square distribution can be used to approximate its distribution.

The likelihood ratio test is the test of choice in logistic regression. Various simulation studies have shown that it is more accurate than the Wald test in situations with small to moderate sample sizes. In large samples, it performs about the same. Unfortunately, the likelihood ratio test requires more calculations than the Wald test, since it requires that two maximum-likelihood models must be fit.

Deviance

When the full model in the likelihood ratio test statistic is the saturated model, LR is referred to as the deviance. A saturated model is one which includes all possible terms (including interactions) so that the predicted values from the model equal the original data. The formula for the deviance is

\[
D = -2 \left[ L_{\text{Reduced}} - L_{\text{Saturated}} \right]
\]

The deviance may be calculated directly using the formula for the deviance residuals (discussed below). This formula is

\[
D = 2 \sum_{j=1}^{J} \sum_{g=1}^{G} w_{gj} \ln \left( \frac{w_{gj}}{n_j p_{gj}} \right)
\]

This expression may be used to calculate the log likelihood of the saturated model without actually fitting a saturated model. The formula is

\[
L_{\text{Saturated}} = L_{\text{Reduced}} + \frac{D}{2}
\]

The deviance in logistic regression is analogous to the residual sum of squares in multiple regression. In fact, when the deviance is calculated in multiple regression, it is equal to the sum of the squared residuals. Deviance residuals, to be discussed later, may be squared and summed as an alternative way to calculate the deviance, \( D \).

The change in deviance, \( \Delta D \), due to excluding (or including) one or more variables is used in logistic regression just as the partial \( F \) test is used in multiple regression. Many texts use the letter \( G \) to represent \( \Delta D \), but we have already used \( G \) to represent the number of groups in \( Y \). Instead of using the \( F \) distribution, the distribution of the change in deviance is approximated by the chi-square distribution. Note that since the log likelihood for the saturated model is common to both deviance values, \( \Delta D \) is calculated without actually estimating the saturated model. This fact becomes very important during subset selection. The formula for \( \Delta D \) for testing the significance of the regression coefficient(s) associated with the independent variable \( X_1 \) is

\[
\Delta D_{X_1} = D_{\text{without} \ X_1} - D_{\text{with} \ X_1}
= -2 \left[ L_{\text{without} \ X_1} - L_{\text{Saturated}} \right] + 2 \left[ L_{\text{with} \ X_1} - L_{\text{Saturated}} \right]
= -2 \left[ L_{\text{without} \ X_1} - L_{\text{with} \ X_1} \right]
\]
Logistic Regression

Note that this formula looks identical to the likelihood ratio statistic. Because of the similarity between the change in deviance test and the likelihood ratio test, their names are often used interchangeably.

Wald Test

The Wald test will be familiar to those who use multiple regression. In multiple regression, the common $t$-test for testing the significance of a particular regression coefficient is a Wald test. In logistic regression, the Wald test is calculated in the same manner. The formula for the Wald statistic is

$$z_j = \frac{b_j}{s_{b_j}}$$

where $s_{b_j}$ is an estimate of the standard error of $b_j$ provided by the square root of the corresponding diagonal element of the covariance matrix, $V(\hat{\beta})$.

With large sample sizes, the distribution of $z_j$ is closely approximated by the normal distribution. With small and moderate sample sizes, the normal approximation is described as ‘adequate.’

The Wald test is used in NCSS to test the statistical significance of individual regression coefficients.

Confidence Intervals

Confidence intervals for the regression coefficients are based on the Wald statistics. The formula for the limits of a $100(1 - \alpha)%$ two-sided confidence interval is

$$b_j \pm z_{\alpha/2} s_{b_j}$$

R-Squared

The following discussion summarizes the material on this subject in Hosmer and Lemeshow (1989). In multiple regression, $R^2_M$ represents the proportion of variation in the dependent variable accounted for by the independent variables. (The subscript “M” emphasizes that this statistic is for multiple regression.) It is the ratio of the regression sum of squares to the total sum of squares. When the residuals from the multiple regression can be assumed to be normally distributed, $R^2_M$ can be calculated as

$$R^2_M = \frac{L_p - L_0}{L_0}$$

where $L_0$ is the log likelihood of the intercept-only model and $L_p$ is the log likelihood of the model that includes the independent variables. Note that $L_p$ varies from $L_0$ to 0. $R^2_M$ varies between zero and one.

This quantity has been proposed for use in logistic regression. Unfortunately, when $R^2_L$ (the R-squared for logistic regression) is calculated using the above formula, it does not necessarily range between zero and one. This is because the maximum value of $L_p$ is not always 0 as it is in multiple regression. Instead, the maximum value of $L_p$ is $L_s$, the log likelihood of the saturated model. To allow $R^2_L$ to vary from zero to one, it is calculated as follows

$$R^2_L = \frac{L_p - L_0}{L_0 - L_s}$$
The introduction of $L_s$ into this formula causes a degree of ambiguity with $R^2_L$ that does not exist with $R^2_M$. This ambiguity is due to the fact that the value of $L_s$ depends on the configuration of independent variables. The following example will point out the problem.

Consider a logistic regression problem consisting of a binary dependent variable and a pool of four independent variables. The data for this example are given in the following table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Y</th>
<th>X1</th>
<th>X2</th>
<th>X3</th>
<th>X4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notice that if only X1 and X2 are included in the model, the dataset may be collapsed because of the number of repeats. In this case, the value of $L_s$ will be less than zero. However, if X3 or X4 are used there are no repeats and the value of $L_s$ will be zero. Hence, the denominator of $R^2_L$ depends on which of the independent variables is used. This is not the case for $R^2_M$. This ambiguity comes into play especially during subset selection. It means that as you enter and remove independent variables, the target value $L_s$ can change.

Hosmer and Lemeshow (1989) recommend against the use $R^2_L$ as a goodness of fit measure. However, we have included it in our output because it does provide a comparative measure of the proportion of the log likelihood that is accounted for by the model. Just remember than an $R^2_L$ value of 1.0 indicates that the logistic regression model achieves the same log likelihood as the saturated model. However, this does not mean that it fits the data perfectly. Instead, it means that it fits the data as well as could be hoped for.

**Residual Diagnostics**

Residuals are the discrepancies between the data values and their predicted values from the fitted model. A residual analysis detects outliers, identifies influential observations, and diagnoses the appropriateness of the logistic model. An analysis of the residuals should be conducted before a regression model is used.

Unfortunately, the residuals are more difficult to define in logistic regression than in regular multiple regression because of the nonlinearity of the logistic model and because more than one regression equation is used. The discussion that follows provides an introduction to the residuals that are produced by the logistic regression procedure. Pregibon (1981) presented this material for the case of the two-outcome logistic regression. Extensions of Pregibon’s results to the multiple-group case are provided in an article by Lesaffre and Albert (1989) and in the book by Hosmer and Lemeshow (1989). Lesaffre and Albert provide formulas for these extensions. On the other hand, Hosmer and Lemeshow recommend that individual logistic regressions be run in which the each group is treated separately. Hence, if you have three outcomes A, B, and C, you would run outcome A versus outcomes B and C, outcome B versus outcomes A and C, and outcome C versus outcomes A and B. You would conduct a residual analysis for each of these regressions using Pregibon’s two-outcome formulas. In **NCSS**, we have adopted the approach of Hosmer and Lemeshow.
Data Configuration
When dealing with residuals, it is important to understand the data configuration. Often, residual formulations are presented for the case when each observation has a different combination of values of the independent variables. When some observations have identical independent variables or when you have specified a frequency variable, these observations are combined to form a single row of data. The \( N \) original observations are combined to form \( J \) unique rows. The response indicator variables \( y_{gj} \) for the original observations are replaced by two variables: \( w_{gj} \) and \( n_j \). The variable \( n_j \) is the total number of observations with this independent variable configuration. The variable \( w_{gj} \) is the number of the \( n_j \) observations that are in outcome-group \( g \).

\( \text{NCSS} \) automatically collapses the dataset of \( N \) observations into a combined dataset of \( J \) rows for analysis. The residuals are calculated using this last formula. However, the residuals are reported in the original observation order. Thus, if two identical observations have been combined, the residual is shown for each. If corrective action needs to be taken because a residual is too large, both observations must be deleted. Also, if you want to calculate the deviance or Pearson chi-square from the corresponding residuals, care must be taken that you use only the \( J \) collapsed rows, not the \( N \) original observations.

Simple Residuals
Each of the \( G \) logistic regression equations can be used to estimate the probabilities that an observation of independent variable values given by \( X_j \) belongs to the corresponding outcome-group. The actual values of these probabilities were defined earlier as

\[
\pi_{gj} = \text{Prob}(Y = g \mid X_j)
\]

The estimated values of these probabilities are called \( p_{gj} \). If the hat symbol is used to represent an estimated parameter, then

\[
p_{gj} = \hat{\pi}_{gj}
\]

These estimated probabilities can be compared to the actual probabilities occurring in the database by subtracting the two quantities, forming a residual. The actual values were defined as the indicator variables \( y_{gj} \). Thus, simple residuals may be defined as

\[
r_{gj} = y_{gj} - p_{gj}
\]

Note that, unlike multiple regression, there are \( g \) residuals for each observation instead of just one. This makes residual analysis much more difficult. If the logistic regression model fits an observation closely, all of its residuals will be small. Hence, when \( y_{gj} \) is one, \( p_{gj} \) will be close to one and when \( y_{gj} \) is zero, \( p_{gj} \) will be close to zero.

Unfortunately, the simple residuals have unequal variance equal to \( n_j \pi_{gj}(1 - \pi_{gj}) \), where \( n_j \) is the number of observations with the same values of the independent variables as observation \( j \). This unequal variance makes comparisons among the simple residuals difficult and alternative types of residuals are necessary.

Pearson Residuals
One popular alternative to the simple residuals are the \textit{Pearson residuals} which are so named because they give the contribution of each observation to the Pearson chi-square goodness of fit statistic. When the values of the independent variables of each observation are unique, the formula this residual is

\[
\chi_j' = \pm \sqrt{\sum_{g=1}^{G} \left( \frac{y_{gj} - p_{gj}}{p_{gj}} \right)^2}, \quad j = 1, 2, \cdots, N
\]
The negative sign is used when \( y_{gj} = 0 \) and the positive sign is used when \( y_{gj} = 1 \).

When some of the observations are duplicates and the database has been collapsed (see Data Configuration above) the formula is

\[
\chi_j = \pm \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{g=1}^{G} (w_{gj} - n_j p_{gj})^2}{n_j p_{gj}}}, \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, J
\]

where the plus (minus) is used if \( w_{gj} / n_j \) is greater (less) than \( p_{gj} \). Note that this is the formula used by \textit{NCSS}.

By definition, the sum of the squared Pearson residuals is the Pearson chi-square goodness of fit statistics. That is,

\[
\chi^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{J} \chi_j^2
\]

**Deviance Residuals**

Remember that the deviance is \(-2\) times the difference between log likelihoods of a reduced model and the saturated model. The deviance is calculated using

\[
D = -2 \left[ L_{\text{Reduced}} - L_{\text{Saturated}} \right]
\]

\[
= -2 \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{g=1}^{G} n_j y_{gj} \ln(p_{gj}) - \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{g=1}^{G} n_j y_{gj} \ln(y_{gj}) \right]
\]

\[
= -2 \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{g=1}^{G} n_j y_{gj} \ln(p_{gj}) \right]
\]

\[
= \sum_{j=1}^{N} \left[ 2 \sum_{g=1}^{G} n_j y_{gj} \ln \left( \frac{1}{p_{gj}} \right) \right]
\]

This formula uses the fact that the saturated model reproduces the original data exactly and that, in these sums, the value of \( 0 \ln(0) \) is defined as 0 and that the \( \ln(1) \) is also 0.

The deviance residuals are the square roots of the contribution of each observation to the overall deviance. Thus, the formula for the deviance residual is

\[
d_j' = \pm \sqrt{2 \sum_{g=1}^{G} n_j y_{gj} \ln \left( \frac{1}{p_{gj}} \right)}, \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, N
\]

The negative sign is used when \( y_{gj} = 0 \) and the positive sign is used when \( y_{gj} = 1 \).

When some of the observations are duplicates and the database has been collapsed (see Data Configuration above) the formula is

\[
d_j = \pm \sqrt{2 \sum_{g=1}^{G} w_{gj} \ln \left( \frac{w_{gj}}{n_j p_{gj}} \right)}, \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, J
\]

where the plus (minus) is used if \( w_{REF(g),j} / n_j \) is greater (less) than \( p_{REF(g),j} \). Note that this is the formula used by \textit{NCSS}.
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By definition, the sum of the squared deviance residuals is the deviance. That is,

\[ D = \sum_{j=1}^{J} d_j^2 \]

**Hat Matrix Diagonal**

The diagonal elements of the hat matrix can be used to detect points that are extreme in the independent variable space. These are often called leverage design points. The larger the value of this statistic, the more the observation influences that estimates of the regression coefficients. An observation that is discrepant, but has low leverage, should not cause much concern. However, an observation with a large leverage and a large residual should be checked very carefully. The use of these hat diagonals is discussed further in the multiple regression chapter.

The formula for the hat diagonal associated with the \( j \)th observation and \( g \)th outcome is

\[ h_{gj} = n_j p_g (1 - p_g) \sum_{i=1}^{p} \sum_{k=1}^{p} X_{ik} X_{kj} \hat{\nu}_{gki}, \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, J \]

where \( \hat{\nu}_{gki} \) is the portion of the covariance matrix of the regression coefficients associated with the \( g \)th regression equation. The interpretation of this diagnostic is not as clear in logistic regression as in multiple regression because it involves the predicted values which in turn involve the dependent variable. In multiple regression, the hat diagonals only involve the independent variables.

Note that this formula matches Pregibon (1981) in the two-group case. In the multiple-group case, the two-group formula is applied to each group.

**DFBETA**

One way to study the impact of an observation on each regression coefficient is to determine how much that coefficient changes when the observation is deleted. The DFBETA statistic is the standardized difference between a regression coefficient before and after the removal of the \( j \)th observation.

The formula for DFBETA is approximated by

\[ \text{DFBETA}_{gj} = \left( \frac{W_{gj} - n_j p_g}{(1 - h_{gj}) \sqrt{\hat{\nu}_{gji}}} \right) \sum_{k=1}^{p} X_{kj} \hat{\nu}_{gki}, \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, J \]

where \( \hat{\nu}_{gki} \) is the portion of the covariance matrix associated with the \( g \)th regression equation.

Note that this formula matches Pregibon (1981) in the two-outcome case, but is different from Lesaffre (1989) in the multi-group case.

**Cooks Distance: C and Cbar**

\( C \) and \( Cbar \) are extensions of Cooks distance for logistic regression. Quoting from Pregibon (1981), page 719:

“\( Cbar \) measures the overall change in fitted logits due to deleting the \( j \)th observation for all points excluding the one deleted. Conversely, \( C \) includes the deleted point. Although \( C \) will usually be the preferred diagnostic to measure overall coefficients changes, in the examples examined to date, the one-step approximations were more accurate for \( Cbar \) than \( C \).”

The formulas for \( C \) and \( Cbar \) are

\[ C_{gj} = \frac{X_{gj}^2 h_{gj}}{(1 - h_{gj})^2}, \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, J \]
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\[ C_{gj} = \frac{X^2_j h_{gj}}{(1 - h_{gj})}, \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, J \]

Note that this formula matches Pregibon (1981) in the two-group case. In the multiple-group case, the two-group formula is applied to each group.

**DFDEV and DFCHI2**

**DFDEV** and **DFCHI2** are statistics that measure the change in deviance and in Pearson’s chi-square, respectively, that occurs when an observation is deleted from the dataset. Large values of these statistics indicate observations that have not been fitted well.

The formulas for these statistics are

\[ DFDEV_{gj} = d_j^2 + C_{gj}, \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, J \]

\[ DFCHI2_{gj} = \frac{C_{gj}}{h_{gj}}, \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, J \]

Note that this formula matches Pregibon (1981) in the two-group case. In the multiple-group case, the two-group formula is applied to each group.

**Predicted Probabilities**

This section describes how to calculate the predicted probabilities of outcome-group membership and associated confidence intervals. Recall that the regression equation is linear when expressed in logit form. That is,

\[ \ln \left( \frac{p_g}{p_1} \right) = \ln \left( \frac{p_g}{p_1} \right) + \beta_{g1} X_1 + \beta_{g2} X_2 + \cdots + \beta_{gp} X_p \]

\[ = \ln \left( \frac{p_g}{p_1} \right) + XB_g \]

The adjustment for the prior probabilities changes the value of the intercepts, so this expression may be simplified to

\[ \ln \left( \frac{p_g}{p_1} \right) = \beta_{g1} X_1 + \beta_{g2} X_2 + \cdots + \beta_{gp} X_p \]

\[ = XB_g \]

if we assume that the intercepts have been appropriately adjusted. Assuming that the estimated matrix of regression coefficients is distributed asymptotically as a multivariate normal, the point estimates of this quantity for a specific set of X values is given by

\[ l_j = \ln \left( \frac{p_g}{p_1} \right | X_j) = X_j \hat{B}_g \]

and the corresponding confidence interval is given by

\[ l_j \pm z_{\alpha/2} (X_j'V_g X_j) \]

where \( V_g \) is that portion of the covariance matrix \( V(\hat{B}) \) that deals with the gth regression equation.
When there are only two groups, these confidence limits can be inverted to give confidence limits on the predicted probabilities as

\[
\frac{1}{1 + e^{X'B\hat{z}}\sigma} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{e^{X'B\hat{z}}\sigma}{1 + e^{X'B\hat{z}}\sigma}
\]

where

\[
\sigma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sum_j (X'V_j X_j)}}
\]

When there are more than two groups, the confidence limits on the logits are still given by

\[
I_j \pm z_{\alpha/2} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sum_j (X'V_j X_j)}} \right)
\]

However, this set of confidence limits of the logits cannot be inverted to give confidence limits for the predicted probabilities. We have found no presentation that gives an appropriate set of confidence limits. In order to provide an approximate answer, we provide approximate confidence limits by applying the inversion as if there were only two groups. This method ignores the correlation between the coefficients of the individual equations. However, we hope that it provides a useful approximation to the confidence intervals.

**Subset Selection**

Subset selection refers to the task of finding a small subset of the available independent variables that does a good job of predicting the dependent variable. Because logistic regression must be solved iteratively, the task of finding the best subset can be very time consuming. Hence, techniques that search all possible combinations of the independent variables are not feasible. Instead, algorithms that add or remove a variable at each step must be used. Two such searching algorithms are available in this module: forward selection and forward selection with switching.

Before discussing the details of these two algorithms, it is important to comment on a couple of issues that can come up. First of all, since there is more than one regression equation when there are more than two categories in the dependent variable, it is possible that a variable is important in one of the equations and not in the others. The algorithms presented here are based on the overall likelihood. This means that if an independent variable is important in at least one of the regression equations, it will be kept.

A second issue is what to do with the individual-degree of freedom variables that are generated for a categorical independent variable. If such a variable has six categories, five binary variables are generated. You can see that with two or three categorical variables, a large number of binary variables may result, which greatly increases the total number of variables that must be searched. To avoid this problem, the algorithms search on model terms rather than on the individual binary variables. Thus, the whole set of binary variables associated with a given term are considered together for inclusion in, or deletion from, the model. It is all or none. Because of the time consuming nature of the algorithm, this is the only feasible way to deal with categorical variables. If you want the subset algorithm to deal with them individually, you can generate the set of binary variables manually and designate them as Numeric Variables.

**Hierarchical Models**

A third issue is what to do with interactions. Usually, an interaction is not entered in the model unless the individual terms that make up that interaction are also in the model. For example, the interaction term A*B*C is not included unless the terms A, B, C, A*B, A*C, and B*C are already in the model. Such models are said to be hierarchical. You have the option during the search to force the algorithm to consider only hierarchical models during its search. Thus, if C is not in the model, interactions involving C are not even considered. Even though the option for non-hierarchical models is available, we recommend that you only consider hierarchical models.
**Forward Selection**

The method of forward selection proceeds as follows.

1. Begin with no terms in the model.
2. Find the term that, when added to the model, achieves the largest value of the log likelihood. Enter this term into the model.
3. Continue adding terms until a target value for the log-likelihood is achieved or until a preset limit on the maximum number of terms in the model is reached. Note that these terms can be limited to those keeping the model hierarchical.

This method is comparatively fast, but it does not guarantee that the best model is found except for the first step when it finds the best single term. You might use it when you have a large number of observations and terms so that other, more time consuming, methods are not feasible.

**Forward Selection with Switching**

This method is similar to the method of Forward Selection discussed above. However, at each step when a term is added, all terms in the model are switched one at a time with all candidate terms not in the model to determine if they increase the value of the log likelihood. If a switch can be found, it is made and the pool of terms is again searched to determine if another switch can be made. Note that this switching can be limited to those keeping the model hierarchical.

When the search for possible switches does not yield a candidate, the subset size is increased by one and a new search is begun. The algorithm is terminated when a target subset size is reached or all terms are included in the model.

**Discussion**

These algorithms usually require two runs. In the first run, you set the maximum subset size to a large value such as 10. By studying the Subset Selection reports from this run, you can quickly determine the optimum number of terms. You reset the maximum subset size to this number and make the second run. This two-step procedure works better than relying on some F-to-enter and F-to-remove tests whose properties are not well understood to begin with.

**Data Structure**

The data given below are the first few rows of a set of data about leukemia patients published in Lee (1980). The dependent variable is whether leukemia remission occurred (Remiss). The independent variables are cellularity of the marrow clot section (Cell), smear differential percentage of blasts (Smear), percentage of absolute marrow leukemia cell infiltrate (Infil), percentage labeling index of the bone marrow leukemia cells (LI), absolute number of blasts in the peripheral blood (Blast), and the highest temperature prior to start of treatment (Temp). This dataset is stored in the Leukemia dataset in the Example Data directory.

**Leukemia dataset (subset)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Remiss</th>
<th>Cell</th>
<th>Smear</th>
<th>Infil</th>
<th>LI</th>
<th>Blast</th>
<th>Temp</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>1020</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Missing Values

If missing values are found in any of the independent variables being used, the row is omitted. If only the dependent variable is missing, the row will not be used in the estimation of the regression coefficients, but a predicted value will be generated for that row.

Procedure Options

This section describes the options available in this procedure.

Variables, Model Tab

This panel specifies the variables and model used in the analysis.

Variables

Y
This variable identifies response, outcome, or Y-value for each row. These values may be text or numeric. The variable should have only a few unique values, such as 0 and 1, Yes and No, or A, B, and C. When there are only two unique values, the analysis is called logistic regression. When there are three or more unique values, the analysis is often called multinomial logistic regression.

In a logistic regression with G Y-values, only G-1 logistic regression equations are needed. The unused outcome value is called the reference value. This value is often the baseline value. In the analysis, the other Y-values are compared to this value.

Reference Value
This option specifies the reference value for the dependent variable. This is the outcome for which no regression equation is generated. This value could be text or numeric.

For example, if the dependent variable has possible values 0 and 1, you must select one of those two values as the reference value. Usually you would select the reference value as the standard or basic outcome. Often, this outcome is represented by a '0'. The other value represents the outcome of interest so you want to have a regression model for that value. This outcome would be represented by a '1'.

If this option is left blank, the first value after the values are sorted is designated as the reference value.

Numeric X's
Specify numeric independent (also called regressor, explanatory, or predictor) variables here. By numeric, we mean that the values are numeric and at least ordinal. Nominal variables, even when coded with numbers, should be specified as Categorical Independent Variables. Although you may specify binary (0-1) variables here, they are better analyzed when you specify them as Categorical Independent Variables.

If you want to create powers and cross-products of these variables, specify an appropriate model in the ‘Custom Model’ field under the Model tab.

If you want to create predicted values of Y for values of X not in your database, add the X values to the bottom of the database. They will not be used during estimation, but predicted values will be generated for them.
Categorical X's
Specify categorical (nominal or group) independent variables in this box. By categorical we mean that the variable has only a few unique, numeric or text, values like 1, 2, 3 or Yes, No, Maybe. The values are used to identify categories.

Regression analysis is only defined for numeric variables. Since categorical variables are nominal, they cannot be used directly in regression. Instead, an internal set of numeric variables must be substituted for each categorical variable.

Suppose a categorical variable has $G$ categories. NCSS automatically generates the $G-1$ internal, numeric variables for the analysis. The way these internal variables are created is determined by the Recoding Scheme and, if needed, the Reference Value. These options can be entered separately with each categorical variable, or they can specified using a default value (see Default Recoding Scheme and Default Reference Value below).

The syntax for specifying a categorical variable is $\text{VarName}(\text{ CType; RefValue})$ where $\text{VarName}$ is the name of the variable, CType is the recoding scheme, and RefValue is the reference value, if needed.

**CType**
The recoding scheme is entered as a letter. Possible choices are B, P, R, N, S, L, F, A, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, or E. The meaning of each of these letters is as follows.

- **B** for binary (the group with the reference value is skipped).
  
  Example: Categorical variable Z with 4 categories. Category D is the reference value.
  
  $Z$  $B1$  $B2$  $B3$
  $A$  1  0  0
  $B$  0  1  0
  $C$  0  0  1
  $D$  0  0  0

- **P** for Polynomial of up to 5th order (you cannot use this option with category variables with more than 6 categories.

  Example: Categorical variable Z with 4 categories.

  $Z$  $P1$  $P2$  $P3$
  1  -3  1  -1
  3  -1  -1  3
  5  1  -1  -3
  7  3  1  1

- **R** to compare each with the reference value (the group with the reference value is skipped).

  Example: Categorical variable Z with 4 categories. Category D is the reference value.

  $Z$  $C1$  $C2$  $C3$
  $A$  1  0  0
  $B$  0  1  0
  $C$  0  0  1
  $D$  -1  -1  -1

- **N** to compare each with the next category.

  Example: Categorical variable Z with 4 categories.

  $Z$  $S1$  $S2$  $S3$
  1  1  0  0
  3  -1  1  0
  5  0  -1  1
  7  0  0  -1
• **S** to compare each with the **average of all subsequent** values.
  Example: Categorical variable \( Z \) with 4 categories.
  \[ \begin{array}{ccc}
  Z & S1 & S2 & S3 \\
  1 & -3 & 0 & 0 \\
  3 & 1 & -2 & 0 \\
  5 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\
  7 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
  \end{array} \]

• **L** to compare each with the **prior** category.
  Example: Categorical variable \( Z \) with 4 categories.
  \[ \begin{array}{ccc}
  Z & S1 & S2 & S3 \\
  1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
  3 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\
  5 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\
  7 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
  \end{array} \]

• **F** to compare each with the **average of all prior** categories.
  Example: Categorical variable \( Z \) with 4 categories.
  \[ \begin{array}{ccc}
  Z & S1 & S2 & S3 \\
  1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
  3 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\
  5 & 1 & -2 & 0 \\
  7 & -3 & 0 & 0 \\
  \end{array} \]

• **A** to compare each with the **average of all** categories (the Reference Value is skipped).
  Example: Categorical variable \( Z \) with 4 categories. Suppose the reference value is 3.
  \[ \begin{array}{ccc}
  Z & S1 & S2 & S3 \\
  1 & -3 & 1 & 1 \\
  3 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
  5 & 1 & -3 & 1 \\
  7 & 1 & 1 & -3 \\
  \end{array} \]

• **1** to compare each with the **first** category after sorting.
  Example: Categorical variable \( Z \) with 4 categories.
  \[ \begin{array}{ccc}
  Z & C1 & C2 & C3 \\
  A & -1 & -1 & -1 \\
  B & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
  C & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
  D & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
  \end{array} \]

• **2** to compare each with the **second** category after sorting.
  Example: Categorical variable \( Z \) with 4 categories.
  \[ \begin{array}{ccc}
  Z & C1 & C2 & C3 \\
  A & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
  B & -1 & -1 & -1 \\
  C & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
  D & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
  \end{array} \]
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- 3 to compare each with the **third** category after sorting.
  Example: Categorical variable Z with 4 categories.
  
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Z</th>
<th>C1</th>
<th>C2</th>
<th>C3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- 4 to compare each with the **fourth** category after sorting.
  Example: Categorical variable Z with 4 categories.
  
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Z</th>
<th>C1</th>
<th>C2</th>
<th>C3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- 5 to compare each with the **fifth** category after sorting.
  Example: Categorical variable Z with 5 categories.
  
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Z</th>
<th>C1</th>
<th>C2</th>
<th>C3</th>
<th>C4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **E** to compare each with the **last** category after sorting.
  Example: Categorical variable Z with 4 categories.
  
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Z</th>
<th>C1</th>
<th>C2</th>
<th>C3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**RefValue**

A second, optional argument is the reference value. The reference value is one of the categories. The other categories are compared to it, so it is usually a baseline or control value. If neither a baseline or control value is evident, the reference value is the most frequent value.

For example, suppose you want to include a categorical independent variable, State, which has four values: Texas, California, Florida, and NewYork. Suppose the recoding scheme is specified as *Compare Each with Reference Value* with the reference value of *California*. You would enter

**State(R;California)**

**Default Recoding Scheme**

Select the default type of numeric variable that will be generated when processing categorical independent variables. The values in a categorical variable are not used directly in regression analysis. Instead, a set of numeric variables is automatically created and substituted for them. This option allows you to specify what type of numeric variable will be created. The options are outlined in the sections below.

The contrast type may also be designated within parentheses after the name of each categorical independent variable, in which case the default contrast type is ignored.

If your model includes interactions of categorical variables, this option should be set to *Contrast with Reference* or *Compare with All Subsequent* if you want to match GLM results for factor effects.
• **Binary** (the group with the reference value is skipped).
  Example: Categorical variable Z with 4 categories. Category D is the reference value.
  \[
  \begin{array}{ccc}
  Z & B1 & B2 & B3 \\
  A & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
  B & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
  C & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
  D & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
  \end{array}
  \]

• **Polynomial** of up to 5th order (you cannot use this option with category variables with more than 6 categories.
  Example: Categorical variable Z with 4 categories.
  \[
  \begin{array}{ccc}
  Z & P1 & P2 & P3 \\
  1 & -3 & 1 & -1 \\
  3 & -1 & -1 & 3 \\
  5 & 1 & -1 & -3 \\
  7 & 3 & 1 & 1 \\
  \end{array}
  \]

• **Compare Each with Reference Value** (the group with the reference value is skipped).
  Example: Categorical variable Z with 4 categories. Category D is the reference value.
  \[
  \begin{array}{ccc}
  Z & C1 & C2 & C3 \\
  A & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
  B & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
  C & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
  D & -1 & -1 & -1 \\
  \end{array}
  \]

• **Compare Each with Next**.
  Example: Categorical variable Z with 4 categories.
  \[
  \begin{array}{ccc}
  Z & S1 & S2 & S3 \\
  1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
  3 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\
  5 & 0 & -1 & 1 \\
  7 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
  \end{array}
  \]

• **Compare Each with All Subsequent**.
  Example: Categorical variable Z with 4 categories.
  \[
  \begin{array}{ccc}
  Z & S1 & S2 & S3 \\
  1 & -3 & 0 & 0 \\
  3 & 1 & -2 & 0 \\
  5 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\
  7 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
  \end{array}
  \]

• **Compare Each with Prior**
  Example: Categorical variable Z with 4 categories.
  \[
  \begin{array}{ccc}
  Z & S1 & S2 & S3 \\
  1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
  3 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\
  5 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\
  7 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
  \end{array}
  \]
- **Compare Each with All Prior**
  Example: Categorical variable Z with 4 categories.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Z</th>
<th>S1</th>
<th>S2</th>
<th>S3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Compare Each with Average**
  Example: Categorical variable Z with 4 categories. Suppose the reference value is 3.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Z</th>
<th>S1</th>
<th>S2</th>
<th>S3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Compare Each with First**
  Example: Categorical variable Z with 4 categories.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Z</th>
<th>C1</th>
<th>C2</th>
<th>C3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Compare Each with Second**
  Example: Categorical variable Z with 4 categories.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Z</th>
<th>C1</th>
<th>C2</th>
<th>C3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Compare Each with Third**
  Example: Categorical variable Z with 4 categories.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Z</th>
<th>C1</th>
<th>C2</th>
<th>C3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Compare Each with Fourth**
  Example: Categorical variable Z with 4 categories.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Z</th>
<th>C1</th>
<th>C2</th>
<th>C3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Logistic Regression

- **Compare Each with Fifth**
  Example: Categorical variable Z with 5 categories.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Z</th>
<th>C1</th>
<th>C2</th>
<th>C3</th>
<th>C4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Compare Each with Last**
  Example: Categorical variable Z with 4 categories.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Z</th>
<th>C1</th>
<th>C2</th>
<th>C3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Default Reference Value**
This option specifies the default reference value to be used when automatically generating indicator variables during the processing of selected categorical independent variables. The reference value is often the baseline, and the other values are compared to it. The choices are

- **First Value after Sorting** – **Fifth Value after Sorting**
  Use the first (through fifth) value in alpha-numeric sorted order as the reference value.

- **Last Value after Sorting**
  Use the last value in alpha-numeric sorted order as the reference value.

**Frequencies**
Specify an optional frequency (count) variable. This variable contains integers that represent the number of observations (or frequency) associated with each observation.

If left blank, each observation has a frequency of one. This variable lets you modify that frequency. This is especially useful when your data are already tabulated and you want to enter the counts.

**Validation Filter**
This variable allows you to validate your logistic regression equations by forcing some observations to be ignored during the estimation phase and then predicted during the classification phase. This provides independent verification of your results.

The values in this variable determine whether the observation is used during the estimation of the logistic regression. If the value of this variable is one, the observation is used in estimating the logistic regression coefficients. If the value of this variable is zero, this observation is not used during the estimation phase. However, it is used during the validation run in which the estimated regression equations are used to classify these observations. The results are displayed in the Classification of Validation Data report.

**Regression Model**
These options control which terms are included in the regression model, or, in the case of a subset selection, which terms are in the pool of candidate terms.

**Terms**
This option specifies which terms (terms, powers, cross-products, and interactions) are included in the regression model. For a straight-forward regression model, select \( I-Way \).
The options are

- **1-Way**
  This option generates a model in which each variable is represented by a single model term. No cross-products, interactions, or powers are added. Use this option when you want to use the variables you have specified, but you do not want to generate other terms.

  This is the option to select when you want to analyze the independent variables specified without adding any other terms.

  For example, if you have three independent variables A, B, and C, this would generate the model:
  \[ A + B + C \]

- **Up to 2-Way**
  This option specifies that all individual variables, two-way interactions, and squares of numeric variables are included in the model. For example, if you have three numeric variables A, B, and C, this would generate the model:
  \[ A + B + C + A*B + A*C + B*C + A*A + B*B + C*C \]

  On the other hand, if you have three categorical variables A, B, and C, this would generate the model:
  \[ A + B + C + A*B + A*C + B*C \]

- **Up to 3-Way**
  All individual variables, two-way interactions, three-way interactions, squares of numeric variables, and cubes of numeric variables are included in the model. For example, if you have three numeric, independent variables A, B, and C, this would generate the model:

  On the other hand, if you have three categorical variables A, B, and C, this would generate the model:
  \[ A + B + C + A*B + A*C + B*C + A*B*C \]

- **Up to 4-Way**
  All individual variables, two-way interactions, three-way interactions, and four-way interactions are included in the model. Also included would be squares, cubes, and quartics of numeric variables and their cross-products.

  For example, if you have four categorical variables A, B, C, and D, this would generate the model:

- **Interaction**
  Mainly used for categorical variables. A saturated model (all terms and their interactions) is generated. This requires a dataset with no missing categorical-variable combinations (you can have unequal numbers of observations for each combination of the categorical variables). No squares, cubes, etc. are generated.

  For example, if you have three independent variables A, B, and C, this would generate the model:
  \[ A + B + C + A*B + A*C + B*C + A*B*C \]

  Note that the discussion of the Custom Model option discusses the interpretation of this model.

- **Custom Model**
  The model specified in the *Custom Model* box is used.
Remove Intercept
Unchecked indicates that the intercept term, $\beta_0$, is to be included in the regression. Checked indicates that the intercept should be omitted from the regression model. Note that deleting the intercept distorts most of the diagnostic statistics ($R^2$, etc.). In most situations, you should include the intercept in the model.

Replace Custom Model with Preview Model (button)
When this button is pressed, the Custom Model is cleared and a copy of the Preview model is stored in the Custom Model. You can then edit this Custom Model as desired.

Maximum Order of Custom Terms
This option specifies that maximum number of variables that can occur in an interaction (or cross-product) term in a custom model. For example, A*B*C is a third order interaction term and if this option were set to 2, the A*B*C term would not be included in the model.

This option is particularly useful when used with the bar notation of a custom model to allow a simple way to remove unwanted high-order interactions.

Custom
This option specifies a custom model. It is only used when the Terms option is set to Custom. A custom model specifies the terms (single variables, cross-products, and interactions) that are to be kept in the model.

Interactions
An interaction expresses the combined relationship between two or more variables and the dependent variable by creating a new variable that is the product of the variables. The interaction (cross-product) between two numeric variables is generated by multiplying them. The interaction between to categorical variables is generated by multiplying each pair of internal variables. The interaction between a numeric variable and a categorical variable is created by generating all products between the numeric variable and the generated, numeric variables.

Syntax
A model is written by listing one or more terms. The terms are separated by a blank or plus sign. Terms include variables and interactions. Specify regular variables (main effects) by entering the variable names. Specify interactions by listing each variable in the interaction separated by an asterisk (*), such as Fruit*Nuts or A*B*C.

You can use the bar (|) symbol as a shorthand technique for specifying many interactions quickly. When several variables are separated by bars, all of their interactions are generated. For example, A|B|C is interpreted as A + B + C + A*B + A*C + B*C + A*B*C.

You can use parentheses. For example, A*(B+C) is interpreted as A*B + A*C.

Some examples will help to indicate how the model syntax works:

$A|B = A + B + A*B$


Note that you should only repeat numeric variables. That is, A*A is valid for a numeric variable, but not for a categorical variable.

$A|A|B|B$ (Max Term Order=2) = A + B + A*A + A*B + B*B

$A|B|C = A + B + C + A*B + A*C + B*C + A*B*C$

$(A + B)*(C + D) = A*C + A*D + B*C + B*D$

$(A + B)|C = (A + B) + C + (A + B)*C = A + B + C + A*C + B*C$
Prior Y-Value Probabilities
The prior probabilities are estimates of the probabilities that a new individual exhibits each possible outcome. These prior values will impact the estimated regression intercept(s) and the individual predicted probabilities.

Priors
This option controls how you will enter the prior probability estimates. Possible choices are

- **Equal across Y-Values**
  The prior probabilities are assumed to be equal across all Y-values.

- **Ni/N (Y-Value Proportions)**
  The prior probabilities as estimated by the Y-value outcome proportions of the data. For example, say you have samples of 60 and 40 from a Y-value with two outcomes and you select this option. The estimated priors would be 60/100=0.6 and 40/100=0.4.
  
  This option matches the default in many other programs.

**Custom (Enter your own values)**
Enter your own set of values in the next box.

**Custom Priors**
Enter a set of Y-value prior percentages (probabilities) in this box. Blanks or commas should separate the numbers in the list. You do not have to enter decimal numbers since the numbers you enter will be scaled so they sum to one.

For example, you could enter "40 60", "4 6", or "2 3" when you have two outcomes whose population proportions are 0.4 and 0.6, respectively.

**Match Number of Values**
Care must be taken that the number of entries matches the number of outcomes.

Subset Selection Tab

Select the Best Subset from the X's

**Search for the Best Subset**
Conduct a search for a small subset of the available independent variables that does almost as good at predicting Y as does the complete set of independent variables.

**Search Method**
This option specifies the subset selection algorithm used to reduce the number of independent variables used in the regression model. Note that since the solution algorithm is iterative, the selection process can be very time consuming. The Forward algorithm is much quicker than the Forward with Switching algorithm, but the Forward algorithm does not usually find as good of a model.

Also note that in the case of categorical independent variables, the algorithm searches among the original categorical variables, not among the generated individual binary variables. That is, either all numeric variables associated with a particular categorical variable are included or not—they are not considered individually.

Hierarchical models are such that if an interaction is in the model, so are the terms that can be derived from it. For example, if A*B*C is in the model, so are A, B, C, A*B, A*C, and B*C. Statisticians usually adopt hierarchical models rather than non-hierarchical models. The subset selection procedure can be made to consider only hierarchical models during its search.
The subset selection options are:

- **(Hierarchical) Forward**
  With this algorithm, the term with the largest log likelihood is entered into the model. Next, the term that increases the log likelihood the most is added. This selection is continued until all the terms have been entered or until the maximum subset size has been reach.

  If hierarchical models are selected, only those terms that will keep the model hierarchical are candidates for selection. For example, the interaction term A*B will not be considered unless both A and B are already in the model.

  When using this algorithm, you must make one run that allows a large number of terms to find the appropriate number of terms. Next, a second run is made in which you decrease the maximum terms in the subset to the number after which the log likelihood does not change significantly.

- **(Hierarchical) Forward with Switching**
  This algorithm is similar to the Forward algorithm described above. The term with the largest log likelihood is entered into the regression model. The term which increases the log likelihood the most when combined with the first term is entered next. Now, each term in the current model is removed and the rest of the terms are checked to determine if, when they are used instead, the likelihood function is increased. If a term can be found by this switching process, the switch is made and the whole switching operation is begun again. The algorithm continues until no term can be found that improves the likelihood. This model then becomes the best two-term model.

  Next, the subset size is increased by one, the best third term is entered into the model, and the switching process is repeated. This process is repeated until the maximum subset size is reached. Hence, this model finds the optimum subset for each subset size. You must make one run to find an appropriate subset size by looking at the change in the log likelihood. You then reset the maximum subset size to this value and rerun the analysis.

  If hierarchical models are selected, only those terms that will keep the model hierarchical are candidates for addition or deletion. For example, the interaction term A*B will not be considered unless both A and B are already in the model. Likewise, the term A cannot be removed from a model that contains A*B.

**Stop search when number of terms reaches**

Once this number of terms has been entered into the model, the subset selection algorithm is terminated. Often you will have to run the Logistic Regression procedure twice to find an appropriate value. You would set this value high for the first run and then reset it appropriately for the second run, depending upon the values of the log likelihood.

Note that the intercept is counted in this number.

---

**Iteration Tab**

**Iteration Options**

The following options are used during the likelihood maximization process.

**Maximum Iterations**

The value specifies the maximum number of iterations allowed during the iteration procedure. If this number is reached, the procedure is terminated prematurely. Usually, no more than ten iterations are necessary for the algorithm to converge. If you reach this maximum before normal convergence occurs, you should try doubling this number. If the algorithm still does not converge before this maximum is reached, you should try omitting (or adding) other independent variables.

This value is used to prevent an infinite loop.
Iteration Termination
Unless the Maximum Iteration limit is reached, the maximum likelihood algorithm continues iterating until the relative change in the log likelihood from one step to the next is less than this amount. The smaller it is, the larger the average number of iterations that will be needed to solve the maximum likelihood equations.

Reports Tab

Select Reports – Summaries
Run Summary and Y Variable Summary
Each of these options specifies whether the indicated report is calculated and displayed.

Select Reports – Subset Selection
Subset Selection - Summary and Subset Selection - Detail
Indicate whether to display these subset selection reports when a subset search is conducted.

Select Reports – Estimated Models
Coefficient Significance Tests ... Estimated Models
Indicate whether to display these estimation reports.

Select Reports – Goodness-of-Fit
Analysis of Deviance and Log-Likelihood / R-Squared
Indicate whether to display these model goodness-of-fit reports.

Select Reports – Classification
Classification Matrix ... ROC Report
Indicate whether to display these classification reports.

Select Reports – Row-by-Row Lists
Row Classification Report ... Simple Residuals Report
These options specifies which rows, if any, are displayed on the row classification, row probabilities, and simple residuals reports. When you have a lot of data, you may wish to limit this report to only those rows that were classified incorrectly.

Note that Unused Rows are those that were not used during the parameter estimation phase. However, outcome-group probabilities are still generated for these rows.

Residuals ... Residual Diagnostics
Indicate whether to display these list reports. Note that since these reports provide results for each row, they may be too long for normal use when requested on large databases.
Report Options Tab

The following options control the format of the reports.

Confidence Level

Confidence Level
Enter the confidence level (or confidence coefficient) for the confidence intervals reported in this procedure.

Percentage
Note that, unlike the values of alpha, the confidence level is entered as a percentage.

Recommended
95

Range
80 to 99.99

Definition
The interpretation of confidence level is that if confidence intervals are constructed across many analyses at the same confidence level, the percentage of such intervals that surround the true value of the parameter is equal to the confidence level.

Variable and Value Labels

Variable Names
This option lets you select whether to display only variable names, variable labels, or both.

Value Labels
This option applies to the Y Variable. It lets you select whether to display data values, value labels, or both. Use this option if you want the output to automatically attach labels to the values (like 1=Yes, 2=No, etc.). See the section on specifying Value Labels elsewhere in this manual.

Stagger label and output if label length ≥
When writing a row of information to a report, some variable names/labels may be too long to fit in the space allocated. If the name (or label) contains more characters than entered here, the rest of the output for that line is moved down to the next line. Most reports are designed to hold a label of up to 15 characters.

Enter ‘1’ when you always want each row’s output to be printed on two lines. Enter ‘100’ when you want each row printed on only one line. Note that this may cause some columns to be miss-aligned.

Decimal Places

Precision
Specifies whether unformatted numbers (designated as decimal places = ‘All’) are displayed as single (7-digit) or double (13-digit) precision numbers in the output. All calculations are performed in double precision regardless of the Precision selected here.

Single
Unformatted numbers are displayed with 7-digits. This is the default setting. All reports have been formatted for single precision.

Double
Unformatted numbers are displayed with 13-digits. This option is most often used when the extremely accurate results are needed for further calculation. For example, double precision might be used when you are going to use the Multiple Regression model in a transformation.

**Double Precision Format Misalignment**

Double precision numbers require more space than is available in the output columns, causing column alignment problems. The double precision option is for those instances when accuracy is more important than format alignment.

**Comments**

1. This option does not affect formatted numbers such as p-values.

2. This option only influences the format of the numbers as they presented in the output. All calculations are performed in double precision regardless of the Precision selected here.

**Probability ... DFBeta Decimals**

Specify the number of digits after the decimal point to display on the output of values of this type. Note that this option in no way influences the accuracy with which the calculations are done.

Enter All to display all digits available. The number of digits displayed by this option is controlled by whether the Precision option is *Single* or *Double*.

### Plots Tab

These options control the attributes of the various plots.

#### Select Plots

**Y vs X Plot ... Pr(Correct) vs Cutoff Plot**

Indicate whether to display these plots. Click the plot format button to change the plot settings.

**Edit During Run**

This is the small check-box in the upper right-hand corner of the format button. If checked, the graphics format window for this plot will be displayed while the procedure is running so that you can format it with the actual data.

**Report Options – ROC Curves and Prob(Correct) vs Cutoff Plot Options**

#### Number Cutoffs

The probability range (0 to 1) is divided into this many cutoff points and a point for the ROC curve is generated for each. To accurately compute the area under the ROC curve a value of at least 29 should be used here. Values ending in 9, such as 19, 29, or 39, provide the best scales of these plots.
Storage Tab

These options let you specify if, and where on the dataset, various statistics are stored.

Warning: Any data already in these columns are replaced by the new data. Be careful not to specify columns that contain important data.

Data Storage Options

Storage Option
This option controls whether the values indicated below are stored on the dataset when the procedure is run.

- **Do not store data**
  No data are stored even if some of the storage items are checked.

- **Store in empty columns**
  The values are stored in empty columns only. Columns containing data are not used for data storage, so no data can be lost.

- **Store in all columns**
  Beginning at the Store First Item In column, the values are stored in this column and those to the right. If a column contains data, the data are replaced by the storage values. Care must be used with this option because it cannot be undone.

Store First Item In
The first item is stored in this column. Each additional item that is checked is stored in the columns immediately to the right of this column.

Leave this value blank if you want the data storage to begin in the first blank column on the right-hand side of the data.

Warning: any existing data in these columns is automatically replaced, so be careful.

Data Storage Options – Select Items to Store on the Spreadsheet

Expanded X Values … Covariance Matrix
Indicate whether to store these row-by-row values, beginning at the column indicated by the Store First Item In option. Note that several of these values include a different value for each group and so they require several columns when they are stored.

Expanded X Values
This option refers to the experimental design matrix. They include all binary and interaction variables generated.
Example 1 – Logistic Regression Analysis

This section presents an introductory example of how to run a logistic regression analysis. The data used are stored in the Leukemia dataset. In this analysis, a logistic regression will be run to determine the relationship between Cell, LI, and Temp on the binary dependent variable Remiss.

You may follow along here by making the appropriate entries or load the completed template Example 1 by clicking on Open Example Template from the File menu of the Logistic Regression window.

1 Open the Leukemia dataset.
   • From the File menu of the NCSS Data window, select Open Example Data.
   • Click on the file Leukemia.NCSS.
   • Click Open.

2 Open the Logistic Regression window.
   • Using the Analysis menu or the Procedure Navigator, find and select the Logistic Regression procedure.
   • On the menus, select File, then New Template. This will fill the procedure with the default template.

3 Specify the variables.
   • On the Logistic Regression window, select the Variables, Model tab.
   • Double-click in the Y box. This will bring up the variable selection window.
   • Select Remiss from the list of variables and then click Ok. Remiss will appear in the Y box.
   • Double-click in the Numeric X’s box. This will bring up the variable selection window.
   • Select Cell, LI, Temp from the list of variables and then click Ok. Cell, LI, Temp will appear in the Numeric X’s box. Remember to use the Ctrl key to select non-contiguous variables from a list.

4 Specify the reports.
   • Select the Reports tab.
   • Click the Check All quick check button.
   • Set the options Row Classification Report, Row Classification Probabilities Report, and Simple Residuals Report to All Rows.

5 Specify the plots.
   • Select the Plots tab.
   • Check all boxes so that all plots are displayed.

6 Run the procedure.
   • From the Run menu, select Run Procedure. Alternatively, just click the green Run button.
This report provides useful information about the reports to follow. It should be studied to make sure that the data were read in properly and that the logistic regression procedure terminated normally. We will only discuss those parameters that need special explanation.

**Reference Value**

The reference value is that category of the Y variable that is defined implicitly in terms of the other categories. This is the category that is skipped on much of the output. If you did not specify the reference value with the Y Variable, the reference value is chosen according to the ‘Default Reference Value setting. This value is critical to interpretation of the rest of the output.

**Number of Y-Values**

This is the number of unique categories that were found for the Y variable. Check this count to make certain it agrees with what you anticipated.

**Final Log Likelihood**

This is the log likelihood of the model that is reported on here.

**Model R²**

This is the $R^2$ that was achieved by your regression. Read the discussion of $R^2$ that was given earlier to better understand how to interpret $R^2$ in the case of logistic regression.

**Actual and Target Convergence**

The Target Convergence is the amount that is used to stop the iterative fitting of the maximum likelihood algorithm. If the Actual Convergence amount is larger than the Target amount, the algorithm ended before converging and care must be taken in using any of the results. If this happens, the usual remedy is to increase the maximum number of iterations. If this does not solve the problem, you will have to change the variables in the model.

**Rows Processed, Used, etc.**

These values record how many of each type of observation were encountered when the database was read. You should make sure that these amounts are what you expect.

**Unique Rows (Y and X’s)**

This gives the number of unique patterns found in the variables. Both the dependent and independent variables are considered in forming this count.

**Likelihood and Maximum Iterations**

The Likelihood Iterations are the number of iterations necessary to solve the likelihood equations. Usually, fewer than ten iterations are necessary. If the number of Likelihood Iterations is equal to the Maximum Iterations, the maximum likelihood algorithm did not converge and you should take some remedial action such as increasing the Maximum Iterations or changing the regression model.
**Completion Status**
This is the message that was returned when the maximum likelihood algorithm ended. Unless the message “Normal Completion” is received, you should take appropriate corrective action.

**Model D.F.**
This is the number of degrees of freedom in the $G-1$ logistic regression models.

---

**Y Variable Summary**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Y Remiss</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Unique Rows (Y and X's)</th>
<th>Y Proportion</th>
<th>Y Prior</th>
<th>R² (Y vs Pred. Probability)</th>
<th>Percent Correctly Classified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.66667</td>
<td>0.50000</td>
<td>0.40318</td>
<td>83.333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.33333</td>
<td>0.50000</td>
<td>0.40318</td>
<td>77.778</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>81.481</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This report describes the dependent variable. Use it to understand the dependent variable and how well the regression model approximates it.

**Y**
These are the unique values found for the dependent variable. Check to make sure that no unexpected outcomes were found.

**Count**
This is the sum of the frequencies (counts) for each outcome of the Y variable.

**Unique Rows (Y and X's)**
This is the number of unique rows in each outcome as determined by the values of the Y and X variables.

**Y Proportion**
This is the proportion of each outcome.

**Prior**
This is the prior probability of each Y-value as given by the user in the Prior Y-Value Probabilities section.

**R² (Y vs Pred. Probability)**
This is the $R^2$ that is achieved when the indicator variable for this Y-value is regressed on the predicted probability of being in this category.

**Percent Correctly Classified**
This is the percent of the observations from this outcome that were correctly classified as such by the multinomial logistic regression model.

---

**Coefficient Significance Tests**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Independent Variable</th>
<th>Regression Coefficient $b(i)$</th>
<th>Standard Error $Sb(i)$</th>
<th>Wald Z-Value $H_0: \beta=0$</th>
<th>Wald P-Value $P$-Value</th>
<th>Odds Ratio $\text{Exp}(b(i))$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>68.32696</td>
<td>56.88604</td>
<td>1.201</td>
<td>0.22970</td>
<td>10000+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell</td>
<td>9.65213</td>
<td>7.75107</td>
<td>1.245</td>
<td>0.21303</td>
<td>10000+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LI</td>
<td>3.86710</td>
<td>1.77828</td>
<td>2.175</td>
<td>0.02966</td>
<td>47.80336</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temp</td>
<td>-82.07365</td>
<td>61.71233</td>
<td>-1.330</td>
<td>0.18354</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Logistic Regression

This report gives the estimated logistic regression equation and associated significance tests. The reference value of the dependent variable is shown in the title. If the dependent variable has more than two categories, the appropriate information is displayed for each of the $G-1$ equations.

**Independent Variable X**

This is the variable from the model that is displayed on this line. If the variable is continuous, it is displayed directly. If the variable is discrete, the definition of the binary variable that was generated is given. For example, suppose that a discrete independent GRADE variable has three values: A, B, and C. The name shown here would be something like $GRADE=B$. This refers to a binary variable that is one for those rows in which $GRADE$ was B and zero otherwise.

Note that the placement of the name is controlled by the *Stagger label and output* option of the Report Options tab.

**Regression Coefficient $b(i)$**

This is the estimated value of the corresponding regression coefficient, sometimes referred to as B or Beta. The interpretation of the regression coefficients is difficult. We refer you to the discussion given at that beginning of this chapter for more details.

**Standard Error $Sb(i)$**

This is $s_{b_j}$, the large-sample estimate of the standard error of the regression coefficient. This is an estimate of the precision of the regression coefficient. It is used as the denominator of the Wald test.

**Wald Z-Value $H_0: \beta = 0$**

This is the $z$ value of the Wald test used for testing the hypothesis that $\beta_{gj} = 0$ against the alternative $\beta_{gj} \neq 0$.

The Wald test is calculated using the formula

$$z_{gj} = \frac{b_{gj}}{s_{b_{gj}}}$$

The distribution of the Wald statistic is closely approximated by the normal distribution in large samples. However, in small samples, the normal approximation may be poor. For small samples, the deviance tests should be used instead to test significance since they perform better.

One problem that occurs in multiple-group logistic regression is that the test may be significant for the regression coefficient associated with one category, but not for the same coefficient associated with another category. In this case, we recommend that the independent variable be kept in the model if it is significant in at least one of the $G-1$ regression equations.

**Wald P-Value**

This is the significance level of the Wald test. If this value is less than some predefined alpha level, say 0.05, the variable is said to be statistically significant. Otherwise, the variable is not significant.

**Odds Ratio $Exp(b(ii))$**

This is the estimated odds ratio associated with this regression coefficient. It is only useful for binary independent variables in which the two values are zero and one. These are the values that are generated for categorical independent variables. The formula used is

$$OR = e^b$$

Because of formatting limitations, the value is not displayed if it is larger than 10000.
This report gives the estimated logistic regression equation and associated confidence limits. The reference value of the dependent variable is shown in parentheses in the page title. If the dependent variable has more than two outcomes, the information is displayed for each of the $G-1$ equations.

**Independent Variable X**

This is the independent variable that is displayed on this line. If the variable is continuous, it is displayed directly. If the variable is discrete, the definition of the binary variable that was generated is given. For example, suppose that a discrete independent GRADE variable has three values: A, B, and C. The name shown here would be something like $GRADE=B$. This refers to a binary variable that is one for those rows in which GRADE was B and zero otherwise.

Note that the placement of the name is controlled by the *Stagger label and output* option of the Report Options tab.

**Regression Coefficient $b(i)$**

This is the estimated value of the regression coefficient, sometimes referred to as B or Beta. The interpretation of the regression coefficients is difficult. We refer you to the discussion given at that beginning of this chapter for more details.

**Standard Error $Sb(i)$**

This is $s_{b_j}$, the large-sample estimate of the standard error of the regression coefficient. This is an estimate of the precision of the regression coefficient. It is used as the denominator of the Wald test.

**Confidence Limits**

These are the lower and upper confidences limits for $\beta_{gj}$ based on the Wald statistic. These confidence limits are use the formula

$$b_{gj} \pm z_{1-\alpha/2} s_{b_{gj}}$$

Since they are based on the Wald test, they are only valid for large samples.

**Odds Ratio $Exp(b(i))$**

This is the estimated odds ratio associated with this regression coefficient. It is only useful for binary independent variables in which the two values are zero and one. These are the values that are generated for categorical independent variables. The formula used is

$$OR = e^b$$

Because of formatting limitations, the value is not displayed if it is larger than 10000.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Independent Variable</th>
<th>Regression Coefficient $b(i)$</th>
<th>Standard Error $Sb(i)$</th>
<th>Lower 95% Confidence Limit</th>
<th>Upper 95% Confidence Limit</th>
<th>Odds Ratio $Exp(b(i))$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>68.32696</td>
<td>56.88604</td>
<td>-43.16763</td>
<td>179.82155</td>
<td>10000+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell</td>
<td>9.65213</td>
<td>7.75107</td>
<td>-5.53968</td>
<td>24.84394</td>
<td>10000+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LI</td>
<td>3.86710</td>
<td>1.77828</td>
<td>0.38174</td>
<td>7.35245</td>
<td>47.80336</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temp</td>
<td>-82.07365</td>
<td>61.71233</td>
<td>-203.02760</td>
<td>38.88029</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
This report presents estimates of the odds ratios and associated confidence limits associated with each variable in the model.

**Independent Variable X**

This is the independent variable that is displayed on this line. If the variable is continuous, it is displayed directly. If the variable is discrete, the definition of the binary variable that was generated is given. For example, suppose that a discrete independent GRADE variable has three values: A, B, and C. The name shown here would be something like GRADE=B. This refers to a binary variable that is one for those rows in which GRADE was B and zero otherwise.

Note that the placement of the name is controlled by the Stagger label and output option of the Report Options tab.

This is the estimated value of the corresponding regression coefficient, sometimes referred to as B or Beta. The interpretation of the regression coefficients is difficult. We refer you to the discussion given at that beginning of this chapter for more details.

**Odds Ratio Exp(b(i))**

This is the estimated odds ratio associated with this regression coefficient. It is only useful for binary independent variables in which the two values are zero and one. These are the values that are generated for categorical independent variables. The formula used is

\[ OR = e^b \]

Because of formatting limitations, the value is not displayed if it is larger than 10000.

**Confidence Limits**

The lower and upper confidence limits yield an interval estimate of the odds ratio. The confidence coefficient is one minus alpha. Thus, when alpha is 0.05, the confidence coefficient is 0.95 or 95%. The formula used is

\[ e^{(b \pm z_{1-\alpha/2} \cdot \widehat{S_b})} \]

Since these confidence limits are based on Wald statistics, they are only valid for large samples.

---

### Estimated Logistic Regression Model(s)

**Estimated Logistic Regression Model(s) in Reading Form**

Model for Logit(Remiss) = XB when Remiss = 1

68.33 + 9.65 * Cell + 3.87 * LI - 82.07 * Temp

**Estimated Logistic Regression Model(s) in Transformation Form**

Model for Logit(Remiss) when Remiss = 1

68.3269603055061 + 9.65212973758003*Cell + 3.86709587172718*LI -82.0736535775613*Temp
Each model estimates XB (where Logit(Y) = XB) for a specific Y outcome. To calculate the Y-value probabilities when there are only 2 outcomes, transform the logit using

\[
\text{Prob}(Y = \text{outcome}) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-XB)} \quad \text{or} \quad \text{Prob}(Y \neq \text{outcome}) = \frac{\exp(-XB)}{1 + \exp(-XB)}.
\]

For the calculation formula to use when there are more than 2 outcomes, see the help documentation.

This report gives the logistic regression model in a regular text format that can be used as a transformation formula. A separate model is displayed for each of the \(G-1\) categories of the dependent variable. The regression coefficients are displayed in double precision because a single-precision formula does not include the accuracy necessary to calculate the scores (logits) and predicted probabilities.

Note that a transformation must be less than 255 characters. Since these formulas are often greater than 255 characters in length, you must use the FILE(filename) transformation. To do so, copy the formula to a text file using Notepad, Windows Write, or Word to receive the model text. Be sure to save the file as an unformatted text (ASCII) file. The transformation is FILE(filename) where filename is the name of the text file, including directory information. When the transformation is executed, it will load the file and use the transformation stored there.

### Analysis of Deviance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term Omitted</th>
<th>DF</th>
<th>Deviance</th>
<th>Increase From Model Deviance (Chi^2)</th>
<th>P-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>34.37177</td>
<td>12.41839</td>
<td>0.00608</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>24.64782</td>
<td>2.69445</td>
<td>0.10070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LI</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>30.82856</td>
<td>8.87518</td>
<td>0.00289</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temp</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>24.34072</td>
<td>2.38734</td>
<td>0.12232</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None(Model)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>21.95337</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This report is the logistic regression analog of the analysis of variance table. It displays the results of a chi-square test used to test whether each of the individual terms in the regression are statistically significant after adjusting for all other terms in the model.

This report is not produced during a subset selection run.

Note that this report requires that a separate logistic regression be run for each line. Thus, if the running time is too long, you might consider omitting this report.

**Term Omitted**

This is the model term that is being tested. The test is formed by comparing the deviance statistic when the term is removed with the deviance of the complete model. Thus, the deviance when the term is left out of the model is shown.

The “All” line refers to the intercept-only model. This line tests the significance of the full model. The “None(Model)” refers to the complete model with no terms removed.

Note that it is usually not advisable to include an interaction term in a model when one of the associated main effects is missing—which is what happens here. However, in this case, we believe this to be a useful test.

Note that the name may become very long, especially for interaction terms. These long names may misalign the report. You can force the rest of the items to be printed on the next line by using the Stagger label and output option in the Report Options tab. This should create a better looking report when the names are extra long.

**DF**

This is the degrees of freedom of the chi-square test displayed on this line. DF is equal to \((G-1)DF_i\) where \(DF_i\) is the degrees of freedom of the term.
**Deviance**

The deviance is equal to minus two times the log likelihood achieved by the model being described on this line of the report. See the discussion given earlier in this chapter for a technical discussion of the deviance. A useful way to interpret the deviance is as the analog of the residual sum of squares in multiple regression. This value is used to create the difference in deviance that is used in the chi-square test.

**Increase From Model Deviance (Chi²)**

This is the difference between the deviance for the model described on this line and the deviance of the complete model. This value follows the chi-square distribution in medium to large samples. See the discussion given earlier in this chapter for a technical discussion of this value. This value can be thought of as the analog of the residual sum of squares in multiple regression. Thus, you can think of this value as the increase in the residual sum of squares that occurs when this term is removed from the model.

Another way to interpret this test is as a redundancy test because it tests whether this term is redundant after considering all of the other terms in the model.

Note that the first line gives a test for the whole model.

**P-Value**

This is the significance level of the chi-square test. This is the probability that a chi-square value with degrees of freedom DF is equal to this value or greater. If this value is less than 0.05 (or other appropriate value), the term is said to be statistically significant.

**Log Likelihood & R²**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term(s) Omitted</th>
<th>DF</th>
<th>Log Likelihood</th>
<th>R² of Remaining Term(s)</th>
<th>Reduction From Model R²</th>
<th>Reduction From Saturated R²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-17.18588</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-12.32391</td>
<td>0.28290</td>
<td>0.07839</td>
<td>0.71710</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LI</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-15.41428</td>
<td>0.10308</td>
<td>0.25821</td>
<td>0.89692</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temp</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-12.17036</td>
<td>0.29184</td>
<td>0.06946</td>
<td>0.70816</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None(Model)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-10.97669</td>
<td>0.36130</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.63870</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None(Saturated)</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>1.00000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This report provides the log likelihoods and R² values of various models. This report is not produced during a subset selection run.

Note that this report requires that a separate logistic regression be run for each line. Thus, if the running time is too long, you might consider omitting this report.

**Term Omitted**

This is the term that is omitted from the model. The “All” line refers to the intercept-only model. The “None(Model)” refers to the complete model with no terms removed. The “None(Saturated)” line gives the results for the saturated model.

Note that the name may become very long, especially for interaction terms. These long names may misalign the report. You can force the rest of the items to be printed on the next line by using the Stagger label and output option in the Format tab. This should create a better looking report when the names are extra long.

**DF**

This is the degrees of freedom of the term displayed on this line. DF is equal to \((G-1)DF_t\) where \(DF_t\) is the degrees of freedom of the term.

**Log Likelihood**

This is the log likelihood of the model displayed on this line. Note that this is the log likelihood of the logistic regression without the term listed.
R² of Remaining Term(s)

This is the $R^2$ of the model displayed on this line, $R^2_L$. Note that the model does not include the term listed at the beginning of the line.

This $R^2$ is analogous to the $R^2$ in multiple regression, but it is not the same. This value is discussed in detail under the heading $R^2$ above. Refer to that section for more details about this statistic. We repeat the summary of the interpretation of $R^2$ in logistic regression.

Hosmer and Lemeshow (1989) recommend against the use $R^2_L$ as a goodness of fit measure. However, we have included it in our output because it does provide a comparative measure of the proportion of the log likelihood that is accounted for by the model. Just remember than an $R^2_L$ value of 1.0 indicates that the logistic regression model achieves the same log likelihood as the saturated model. However, this does not mean that it fits the data perfectly. Instead, it means that it fits the data as well as could be hoped for.

Reduction From Model $R^2$

This is amount that $R^2$ is reduced when the term is omitted from the regression model. This reduction is calculated from the $R^2$ achieved by the full model.

This quantity is used to determine if removing a term causes a large reduction in $R^2$. If it does not, then the term can be safely removed from the model.

Reduction From Saturated $R^2$

This is the amount that $R^2$ is reduced when the term is omitted from the regression model. This reduction is calculated from the $R^2$ achieved by the saturated model. This item is included because it shows how removal of this term impacts the best $R$-squared that is possible.

Classification Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Estimated</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actual</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percent Correctly classified = 81.5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This table displays the results of classifying the data based on the logistic regression equations. The table presents the counts for each outcome. The Percent Correctly Classified is also presented. This is the percent of the total count that fall on the diagonal of the table.
A separate ROC report is generated for each outcome. Only the report for outcome 0 is displayed here. ROC curves can be used to determine appropriate cutoff values for classification by letting you compare the sensitivity and specificity of various cutoff values. When classifying, you usually classify a row into that category that has the highest membership probability. However, this is not always the optimum strategy. This table shows you what happens when various cutoff values are selected.

Classifying an observation can have any one of four possible results. An observation from the outcome-group can be correctly classified as being from that outcome-group (state A) or incorrectly classified as being from another outcome-group (state C). An observation from another outcome-group can be incorrectly classified as being from the outcome-group (state B) or correctly classified as being from another outcome-group (state D).

The number of observations in each state is computed for each cutoff value between zero and one. A number of measures can be calculated from these values. The measures used in ROC analysis are called sensitivity and specificity. Sensitivity is the proportion of those from this group that are correctly identified as such. In terms of the four states, sensitivity = \( \frac{A}{A+C} \). Specificity is the proportion of those from other groups that are correctly identified as such. In terms of four states, specificity = \( \frac{D}{B+D} \). Thus, the optimum cutoff value is that one for which the sum of sensitivity and specificity is the maximum. This may be found by investigating the report. In this example, the cutoff is between 0.40000 and 0.50000. An ROC plot is also generated for each report that gives a graphical display of this report.

An ROC analysis is most useful in the two-outcome case. In the multiple-outcome case, it is of only marginal usefulness, since a cutoff value is not specified. Rather, each observation is classified into that outcome-group which has the highest membership probability.

### Prob Cutoff

This is the probability cutoff for classification into this outcome. If an observation’s predicted probability for membership in this outcome is greater than this amount, the observation is classified in this outcome. Otherwise, it is classified as being in some other outcome.
The counts for each of the four states. These counts are represented using the notation \( N(i|j) \) where \( i \) is the classified outcome and \( j \) is the actual outcome.

**Sensitivity**
Sensitivity is the proportion of those from this outcome that are correctly identified as such. In terms of the four states, sensitivity = \( A/(A+C) \).

**Specificity**
Specificity is the proportion of those from other outcomes that are correctly identified as such. In terms of four states, specificity = \( D/(B+D) \).

**Sensitivity + Specificity**
A common rule for selecting an appropriate cutoff value is to choose the cutoff with the largest total of sensitivity and specificity. This column allows you to do this very quickly.

**Proportion Correct**
Another rule for selecting an appropriate cutoff value is to choose that cutoff which maximizes the number of observations that are correctly classified. This column of the report allows you to quickly find the optimum cutoff value. Unfortunately, when one outcome has many more rows than the others, this rule may not be useful since it will lead you to classify everyone into the most prevalent outcome.

**Area Under ROC Curve**
The area under the ROC curve is a popular measure associated with ROC curves. When applied to classification in logistic regression, its maximum value of one occurs when all rows are correctly classified. Its minimum value of zero occurs when all rows are incorrectly classified. Thus, the nearer this value is to one, the better the classification.

The AUC’s value depends on the number selected in the *Number Cutoffs* option on the Plots tab.

The calculation of the standard error of AUC and its confidence interval proceeds as given by Hanley and McNeil (1982). Let \( AUC \) denote the sample AUC value. For large samples, the distribution of AUC is approximately normal. Hence, a \( 100(1 - \alpha)\% \) confidence interval for \( AUC \) may be computed using the standard normal distribution as follows

\[
AUC \pm z_{\alpha/2}SE(AUC)
\]

The formula for \( SE(AUC) \) is

\[
SE(AUC) = \sqrt{\frac{AUC(1-AUC) + (N_1-1)(Q_1-AUC^2) + (N_2-1)(Q_2-AUC^2)}{N_1N_2}}
\]

where

\[
Q_1 = \frac{AUC}{2-AUC}
\]

\[
Q_2 = \frac{2AUC^2}{1+AUC}
\]

Once estimates of AUC and \( SE(AUC) \) are calculated, hypothesis tests and confidence intervals can be calculated using standard methods. However, following the advice of Zhou et al. (2002) page 125, we use the following transformation which results in statistics that are closer to normality and ensures confidence limits that are inside the zero-one range. The transformation is

\[
\tilde{\Psi} = \ln \left( \frac{1 + \hat{A}}{1 - \hat{A}} \right)
\]
The variance of $\hat{\psi}$ is estimated using

$$V(\hat{\psi}) = \frac{4}{(1 - \hat{A}^2)^2} V(\hat{A})$$

An 100$(1 - \alpha)\%$ confidence interval for $\psi$ may then be constructed as

$$L, U = \hat{\psi} \pm z_{1-\alpha/2} \sqrt{V(\hat{\psi})}$$

Using the inverse transformation, the confidence interval for $A$ is given by the two limits

$$\frac{1 - e^{-L}}{1 + e^{-L}} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1 - e^{-U}}{1 + e^{-U}}$$

### Row Classification Report

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Row</th>
<th>Actual Remiss</th>
<th>Estimated Remiss</th>
<th>Estimated Remiss Probability</th>
<th>Lower 95% Confidence Limit</th>
<th>Upper 95% Confidence Limit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.83900</td>
<td>0.31617</td>
<td>0.98326</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.73317</td>
<td>0.48928</td>
<td>0.98739</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.81061</td>
<td>0.24565</td>
<td>0.98253</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.55936</td>
<td>0.24511</td>
<td>0.93230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.83326</td>
<td>0.44347</td>
<td>0.96908</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.57370</td>
<td>0.21384</td>
<td>0.86943</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7*</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.51337</td>
<td>0.32143</td>
<td>0.70145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8*</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.75562</td>
<td>0.21175</td>
<td>0.97267</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.71480</td>
<td>0.31903</td>
<td>0.93059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.99687</td>
<td>0.19043</td>
<td>1.00000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This report displays the actual and predicted group and membership probability for each row of the report. It also provides confidence limits for the predicted group-membership probability.

**Row**
This is the row from the database. Rows that are starred are misclassified.

**Actual Y**
This is the outcome to which this row belongs (if known).

**Estimated Y**
This is the outcome with the largest membership probability.

**Estimated Probability**
This is the estimated probability that the row belongs to the outcome listed in the Estimated Y column.

These values allow you to determine how certain the classification is. When the value is near one (above 0.7), the logistic regression is convinced that the observation belongs in the designated group. When the value is near 0.5 or less, the classification was not as clear.

**Lower and Upper Confidence Limits**
These values provide a confidence interval for the estimated membership probability. Note that this confidence interval is only approximate in the multiple-outcome case. Formulas and technical details are given above in the section entitled Predicted Probabilities.
**Row Classification Probabilities**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Row</th>
<th>Actual Remiss</th>
<th>Estimated Prob. in Row</th>
<th>Estimated Prob. in Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.16100</td>
<td>0.83900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.26683</td>
<td>0.73317</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.81061</td>
<td>0.18939</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.55936</td>
<td>0.44064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.16674</td>
<td>0.83326</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.57370</td>
<td>0.42630</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7*</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.51337</td>
<td>0.48663</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8*</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.24438</td>
<td>0.75562</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.71480</td>
<td>0.28520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.99997</td>
<td>0.00013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This report displays the actual group and the membership probabilities for each group and each row. This allows you investigate how certain each classification is.

**Row**
This is the row from the database. Rows that are starred are misclassified.

**Actual Y**
This is the outcome to which this row belongs (if known).

**Estimated Prob. In Y**
This is the estimated probability that the row belongs in each outcome. These values allow you to determine how certain the classification is.

**Simple Residual Report**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Row</th>
<th>Actual Remiss</th>
<th>Residual for Outcome 0</th>
<th>Residual for Outcome 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-0.16100</td>
<td>0.16100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-0.26683</td>
<td>0.26683</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.18939</td>
<td>-0.18939</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.44064</td>
<td>-0.44064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-0.16674</td>
<td>0.16674</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.42630</td>
<td>-0.42630</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7*</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-0.51337</td>
<td>0.51337</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8*</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.75562</td>
<td>-0.75562</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.28520</td>
<td>-0.28520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00313</td>
<td>-0.00313</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This report displays the simple residuals for each group. Each of the g logistic regression equations can be used to estimate the probabilities that each observation belongs to the corresponding group.

**Row**
This is the row from the database. Rows that are starred are misclassified.
Actual Y
This is the outcome to which this row belongs (if known).

Residual for Group
These residuals are defined as
\[ r_{yj} = y_{ij} - p_{yj} \]
where \( p_{yj} \) is the estimated membership probability and \( y_{ij} \) is an indicator variable that is one if the actual group is \( g \) and zero otherwise.

Note that, unlike multiple regression, there are \( g \) residuals for each observation instead of just one. This makes residual analysis much more difficult. If the logistic regression model fits an observation closely, all of its residuals will be small, but never zero.

Unfortunately, the simple residuals have unequal variance equal to \( n_j \pi_{yj}(1 - \pi_{yj}) \), where \( n_j \) is the number of observations with the same values of the independent variables as observation \( j \). This unequal variance makes comparisons among the simple residuals difficult and alternative types of residuals are necessary.

Residual Report

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Row</th>
<th>Actual Y</th>
<th>Pearson Residual</th>
<th>Deviance Residual</th>
<th>Maximum Hat Diagonal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.43806</td>
<td>0.59253</td>
<td>0.20631</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.60328</td>
<td>0.78789</td>
<td>0.05654</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.48336</td>
<td>-0.64802</td>
<td>0.26518</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1.25520</td>
<td>-1.52442</td>
<td>0.23855</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.44733</td>
<td>0.60400</td>
<td>0.12192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.86201</td>
<td>-1.05417</td>
<td>0.16277</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.02710</td>
<td>1.20021</td>
<td>0.04169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8  *</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1.75843</td>
<td>-1.67872</td>
<td>0.28695</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.63166</td>
<td>-0.81945</td>
<td>0.14925</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.05607</td>
<td>-0.07923</td>
<td>0.04227</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This report displays the Pearson residuals, the deviance residuals, and the hat diagonal for each row. These are the residuals that most textbooks on logistic regression recommend that you use.

Row
This is the row from the database. Rows that are starred are misclassified.

Actual Y
This is the outcome to which this row belongs (if known).

Pearson Residual
The Pearson residuals give the contribution of each row to the Pearson chi-square goodness of fit statistic. When the values of the independent variables of each observation are unique, the formula for this residual is
\[ \chi_j = \pm \sqrt{\sum_{g=1}^{G} \left( \frac{w_{yj} - n_j p_{yj}}{n_j p_{yj}} \right)^2}, \quad j = 1, 2, \cdots, J \]
where the plus (minus) is used if \( w_{yj} / n_j \) is greater (less) than \( p_{yj} \). By definition, the sum of the squared Pearson residuals is the Pearson chi-square goodness of fit statistic.
**Deviance Residuals**

Remember that the deviance is -2 times the difference between log likelihoods of a reduced model and the saturated model. The formula for a deviance residual is

\[
d_j = \pm \sqrt{2 \sum_{g=1}^{G} w_{gj} \ln \left( \frac{W_{gj}}{n_j p_{gj}} \right)}, \quad j = 1,2,\ldots,J
\]

where the plus (minus) is used if \( w_{REF(g),j} / n_j \) is greater (less) than \( p_{REF(g),j} \). By definition, the sum of the squared deviance residuals is the deviance.

**Maximum Hat Diagonal**

The diagonal elements of the hat matrix can be used to detect points that are extreme in the independent variable space. These are often called leverage design points. The larger the value of the hat diagonal, the more the observation influences estimates of the regression coefficients. There is a separate hat diagonal defined for each category. The value reported here is the maximum of all \( G \) of the hat diagonals for each row.

An observation that has a large residual, but has low leverage, does not cause much concern. However, an observation with a large leverage and a large residual should be checked very carefully. The formula for the hat diagonal associated with the \( j \)th observation and \( g \)th outcome is

\[
h_{gj} = n_j p_{gj} \left( 1 - p_{gj} \right) \sum_{i=1}^{p} \sum_{k=1}^{p} X_{ik} \hat{\beta}_{gik}, \quad j = 1,2,\ldots,J
\]

where \( \hat{\beta}_{gik} \) is the portion of the covariance matrix of the regression coefficients associated with the \( g \)th regression equation. The interpretation of this diagnostic is not as clear in logistic regression as in multiple regression because it involves the predicted values which in turn involve the dependent variable. In multiple regression, the hat diagonals only involve the independent variables.

Note that this formula matches Pregibon (1981) in the two-outcome case. In the multiple-outcome case, the two-outcome formula is applied to each outcome.

**DFBetas Report**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DFBetas Report For Remiss = 1</th>
<th>DFBeta</th>
<th>DFBeta</th>
<th>DFBeta</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Row</td>
<td>Actual Remiss</td>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>Cell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.05383</td>
<td>-0.11561</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.06191</td>
<td>-0.03603</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.03248</td>
<td>0.29680</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.07853</td>
<td>-0.22408</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.15954</td>
<td>0.02455</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.10146</td>
<td>-0.11173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7*</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-0.05201</td>
<td>-0.05264</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8*</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.83713</td>
<td>0.10576</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.20905</td>
<td>0.03081</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.01139</td>
<td>0.00613</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

One way to study the impact of an observation on each regression coefficient is to determine how much that coefficient changes when the observation is deleted. The DFBETA statistic is the standardized difference between a regression coefficient before and after the removal of the \( j \)th observation.

**Row**

This is the row from the database. Rows that are starred are misclassified.
**Actual Y**
This is the outcome to which this row belongs (if known).

**DFBeta**
The DFBeta statistic is the standardized difference between a regression coefficient before and after the removal of the \( j \)th observation.

The formula for DFBeta is approximated by

\[
\text{DFBeta}_{ij} = \left( \frac{w_{ij} - n_{ij}p_{ij}}{1 - h_{ij}} \right) \sum_{k=1}^{p} X_{ij} \hat{V}_{gik}, \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, J
\]

where \( \hat{V}_{gik} \) is the portion of the covariance matrix associated with the \( g \)th regression equation. Note that this formula matches Pregibon (1981) in the two outcome case, but is different from Lesaffre (1989) in the multi-outcome case.

**Influence Diagnostics Report**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Row</th>
<th>Actual Remiss</th>
<th>Hat Diagonal</th>
<th>Cook’s Distance (C)</th>
<th>Cook’s Distance (CBar)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.20631</td>
<td>0.06285</td>
<td>0.04988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.05654</td>
<td>0.02312</td>
<td>0.02181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.26518</td>
<td>0.11474</td>
<td>0.08432</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.23855</td>
<td>0.64822</td>
<td>0.49359</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.12192</td>
<td>0.03164</td>
<td>0.02778</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.16277</td>
<td>0.17254</td>
<td>0.14446</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.04169</td>
<td>0.04790</td>
<td>0.04590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.28695</td>
<td>1.74506</td>
<td>1.24433</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.14925</td>
<td>0.08228</td>
<td>0.07000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.04227</td>
<td>0.00014</td>
<td>0.00014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This report gives two distance measures similar to Cook’s distance in multiple regression.

**Row**
This is the row from the database. Rows that are starred are misclassified.

**Actual Y**
This is the outcome to which this row belongs (if known).

**Hat Diagonal**
The diagonal elements of the hat matrix can be used to detect points that are extreme in the independent variable space. They are discussed in more detail in the Residual Report.

**Cook’s Distance (C) and (CBar)**

\( C \) and \( C_{bar} \) are extensions of Cooks distance for logistic regression. Quoting from Pregibon (1981), page 719:

“\( C_{bar} \) measures the overall change in fitted logits due to deleting the \( l \)th observation for all points excluding the one deleted. Conversely, \( C \) includes the deleted point. Although \( C \) will usually be the preferred diagnostic to measure overall coefficients’ changes, in the examples examined to date, the one-step approximations were more accurate for \( C_{bar} \) than \( C \).”
The formulas for $C$ and $C_{\bar{g}}$ are

$$C_{gj} = \frac{\chi^2_j h_{gj}}{(1 - h_{gj})^2}, \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, J$$

$$\overline{C}_{gj} = \frac{\chi^2_j h_{gj}}{(1 - h_{gj})}, \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, J$$

Note that this formula matches Pregibon (1981) in the two-outcome case. In the multiple-outcome case, the two-outcome formula is applied to each outcome.

### Residual Diagnostics Report

This report gives statistics that help detect observations that have not been fitted well by the model.

**Row**
This is the row from the database. Rows that are starred are misclassified.

**Actual Y**
This is the outcome to which this row belongs (if known).

**Hat Diagonal**
The diagonal elements of the hat matrix can be used to detect points that are extreme in the independent variable space. They are discussed in more detail in the Residual Report.

**Deviance Change (DFDev) and Chi-Square Change (DFChi2)**
$DFDEV$ and $DFCHI2$ are statistics that measure the change in deviance and in Pearson’s chi-square, respectively, that occurs when an observation is deleted from the dataset. Large values of these statistics indicate observations that have not been fitted well.

The formulas for these statistics are

$$DFDEV_{gj} = d_j^2 + \overline{C}_{gj}, \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, J$$

$$DFCHI2_{gj} = \frac{\overline{C}_{gj}}{h_{gj}}, \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, J$$

Note that this formula matches Pregibon (1981) in the two-group case. In the multiple-group case, the two-group formula is applied to each group.
This section shows scatter plots with the dependent variable on the vertical axis and each of the independent variables on the horizontal axis. The plot is useful for finding typos, outliers, and other anomalies in that data.

**Vertical Axis**
The categories of the dependent variable are shown on the vertical axis. Each category is assigned a whole number, beginning with the number one. The numbers are assigned in sorted order. Thus, if your dependent variable has values A, B, and C, it would be plotted on a numeric scale ranging from about 0.8 to 3.2. The groups would be plotted as the numbers 1, 2, and 3.

**Horizontal Axis**
The independent variables are shown on the horizontal axis. When the independent variable is categorical, binary variables are generated for each of the categories and a separate scatter plot is generated for each binary variable.
This section shows scatter plots with the simple residuals on the vertical axis and each of the independent variables on the horizontal axis. The plots are useful for finding outliers and other anomalies in the data.

**Vertical Axis**

The residuals are displayed on the vertical axis. Note that the $G$ residuals for each row corresponding to the simple residuals are displayed. Thus, if you have $N$ rows, you will have $GN$ points displayed on the plot.

**Horizontal Axis**

The independent variables are shown on the horizontal axis. When the independent variable is categorical, binary variables are generated for each of the categories and a separate scatter plot is generated for each binary variable.
Deviance Residuals versus X Plots

This section shows scatter plots with the deviance residuals on the vertical axis and each of the independent variables on the horizontal axis. The plots are useful for finding outliers and other anomalies in the data.

Vertical Axis
The deviance residuals are displayed on the vertical axis.

Horizontal Axis
The independent variables are shown on the horizontal axis. When the independent variable is categorical, binary variables are generated for each of the categories and a separate scatter plot is generated for each binary variable.
Pearson Residuals versus X Plots

This section shows scatter plots with the Pearson residuals on the vertical axis and each of the independent variables on the horizontal axis. The plots are useful for finding outliers and other anomalies in the data.

**Vertical Axis**

The Pearson residuals are displayed on the vertical axis.

**Horizontal Axis**

The independent variables are shown on the horizontal axis. When the independent variable is categorical, binary variables are generated for each of the categories and a separate scatter plot is generated for each binary variable.
This section displays the ROC curves that can be used to help you find the best cutoff points to use for classification. The cutoff point nearest the top-left corner of the plot is the optimum cutoff. You will have to refer to the ROC Report to determine the exact value of the cutoff.

**Vertical Axis**
The sensitivity is displayed on the vertical axis.

**Horizontal Axis**
One minus the specificity is displayed on the horizontal axis.
Prob Correct versus Cutoff Plot

This section displays a plot that shows the proportion correct versus the cutoff. It is useful to help determine the cutoff point used in classification. This plot may be difficult to use with three or more categories because of the ambiguity in the plot.

**Vertical Axis**
The proportion correctly classified for various cutoff values are displayed on the vertical axis.

**Horizontal Axis**
The cutoff values are displayed on the horizontal axis. These cutoff values are in terms of the estimated outcome-membership probabilities. Thus a cutoff of 0.4 means that any rows with a outcome-membership probability of 0.4 or more are classified into this outcome.
Example 2 – Subset Selection

This section presents an example of how to conduct a subset selection. The data used are stored in the Leukemia dataset. This analysis will search for the best model from among a pool of the six numeric variables.

You may follow along here by making the appropriate entries or load the completed template Example 2a by clicking on Open Example Template from the File menu of the Logistic Regression window.

1 Open the Leukemia dataset.
   - From the File menu of the NCSS Data window, select Open Example Data.
   - Click on the file Leukemia.NCSS.
   - Click Open.

2 Open the Logistic Regression window.
   - Using the Analysis menu or the Procedure Navigator, find and select the Logistic Regression procedure.
   - On the menus, select File, then New Template. This will fill the procedure with the default template.

3 Specify the variables.
   - On the Logistic Regression window, select the Variables, Model tab.
   - Double-click in the Y box. This will bring up the variable selection window.
   - Select Remiss from the list of variables and then click Ok. Remiss will appear in the Y box.
   - Double-click in the Numeric X’s box. This will bring up the variable selection window.
   - Select the variables from Cell to Temp from the list of variables and then click Ok. Cell-Temp will appear in the Numeric X’s box.

4 Specify the model.
   - Set the Terms box to Up to 1-Way.

5 Specify the subset selection.
   - Select the Subset Selection tab.
   - Check the Search for the Best Subset from the X’s box.
   - Select Hierarchical Forward with Switching in the Search Method box.
   - Set the Stop search when number of terms reaches to 6.

6 Specify the reports.
   - Select the Reports tab.
   - Set the options Row Classification Report, Row Classification Probabilities Report, and Simple Residuals Report to None.
   - Press the Uncheck All Quick Check Button.
   - Check the Run Summary, Subset Summary, Subset Detail, and Coefficient Significance Tests reports. All other reports should be unchecked.

7 Specify the plots.
   - Select the Plots tab.
   - Uncheck all plots.

8 Run the procedure.
   - From the Run menu, select Run Procedure.
The first thing we notice is the warning message about quasi-separation. If quasi-separation occurs, the maximum likelihood estimates do not exist and all results are suspect. We note that 9 likelihood iterations occurred and the Actual Convergence is near the Target Convergence. We decide to rerun the analysis after resetting the Max Terms in Subset box from 6 to 5. Note that this error message often occurs when a small set of data is fit with a model with too many terms.

At this point, reset the value for **Stop search when number of terms reaches** (on the Subset Selection tab) to 5 manually or load the template **Example2b**. Now, rerun the analysis.

The warning message has disappeared and the algorithm finished normally.
Subset Selection Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. Terms</th>
<th>No. X's</th>
<th>Log Likelihood</th>
<th>R^2 Value</th>
<th>R^2 Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-17.18588</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-13.03648</td>
<td>0.24144</td>
<td>0.24144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-12.17036</td>
<td>0.29184</td>
<td>0.05040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-10.97669</td>
<td>0.36130</td>
<td>0.06946</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-10.92900</td>
<td>0.36407</td>
<td>0.00277</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This report shows the best log-likelihood value for each subset size. In this example, it appears that four terms (the intercept and three variables) provides the best model. Note that adding the fifth variable does not increase the R-squared value very much.

**No. Terms**

The number of terms. Note that this includes the intercept.

**No. X’s**

The number of X’s that were included in the model. Note that in this case, the number of terms matches the number of X’s. This would not be the case if some of the terms were categorical variables.

**Log Likelihood**

This is the value of the log likelihood function evaluated at the maximum likelihood estimates. Our goal is to find a subset size above which little is gained by adding more variables.

**R^2 Value**

This is the value of R^2 calculated using the formula

\[ R^2_p = \frac{L_p - L_0}{L_0 - L_S} \]

as discussed in the introduction. We are looking for the subset size at which this value does not increase by a meaningful amount.

**R^2**

This is the increase in R^2 that occurs when each new subset size is reached. Search for the subset size below which the R^2 value does not increase by more than 0.02 for small samples or 0.01 for large samples.

In this example, the optimum subset size appears to be four terms.
**Subset Selection Detail**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>No. of Terms</th>
<th>No. of X’s</th>
<th>Log Likelihood</th>
<th>Term Entered</th>
<th>Terms Removed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Add</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-17.18588</td>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>LI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Add</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-13.03648</td>
<td>LI</td>
<td>Cell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Add</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-12.17036</td>
<td>Cell</td>
<td>Temp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Add</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-10.97669</td>
<td>Temp</td>
<td>Smear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Add</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-10.92900</td>
<td>Smear</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This report shows the highest log likelihood for each subset size. In this example, it appears that four terms (the intercept and three variables) provide the best model. Note that adding the fifth variable does not increase the $R^2$-squared value very much.

**Action**

This item identifies the action that was taken at this step. A term was added, removed, or two were switched.

**No. Terms**

The number of terms. Note that this includes the intercept.

**No. X’s**

The number of $X$’s that were included in the model. Note that in this case, the number of terms matches the number of $X$’s. This would not be the case if some of the terms were categorical variables.

**Log Likelihood**

This is the value of the log likelihood function after the completion of this step. Our goal is to find a subset size above which little is gained by adding more variables.

**Terms Entered and Removed**

These columns identify the terms added, removed, or switched.

**Discussion of Example 2**

After considering these reports, it was decided to include Cell, LI, and Temp in the final logistic regression model. Another run should now take place using only these independent variables. A complete residual analysis is necessary before the equation is finally adopted.
Example 3 – One Categorical X Variable

The independent variables in logistic regression may be categorical as well as numerical. This example is of the simplest categorical case of a binary response and a binary independent variable. More complicated examples will be shown below.

In this example, a simple yes-no question is asked of each member of two groups. The following two-by-two table presents the results. The analyst wants to understand the relationship between group membership and response to the question.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>120</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>220</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These data would normally be analyzed using the methods for comparing two proportions such as Fisher’s exact test or the chi-square test for independence in a contingency table. The following table presents the results of this analysis.

Two Proportions Output

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Section</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Sample Size</th>
<th>Number in Group One</th>
<th>Number in Group Two</th>
<th>Proportion In Group One</th>
<th>Proportion In Group Two</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>One</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>0.090000</td>
<td>0.910000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>0.225000</td>
<td>0.775000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>0.163636</td>
<td>0.836364</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hypothesis Test Section</th>
<th>Fisher’s Exact Test</th>
<th>Normal Approximation</th>
<th>Yates Chi-Square Test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alternative Hypothesis</td>
<td>Prob Level</td>
<td>Decision (5%)</td>
<td>Z-Value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1-P2&lt;&gt;0</td>
<td>0.009733</td>
<td>Reject Ho</td>
<td>-2.6951</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1-P2&lt;0</td>
<td>0.005272</td>
<td>Reject Ho</td>
<td>-2.6951</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1-P2&gt;0</td>
<td>0.998394</td>
<td>Accept Ho</td>
<td>-2.6951</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Odds Ratio and Relative Risk Section</th>
<th>Common Odds Ratio</th>
<th>Original Odds Ratio</th>
<th>Iterated Odds Ratio</th>
<th>Log Odds Ratio</th>
<th>Relative Risk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Upper 95% C.L.</td>
<td>0.779298</td>
<td>0.809907</td>
<td>-0.249362</td>
<td>0.838047</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimate</td>
<td>0.340659</td>
<td>0.353005</td>
<td>0.353005</td>
<td>-1.041272</td>
<td>0.400000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower 95% C.L.</td>
<td>0.159904</td>
<td>0.139852</td>
<td>-1.833182</td>
<td>0.180300</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The conclusion of this analysis is to reject the null hypothesis that the two proportions are equal. The significance levels are 0.009733 using Fisher’s exact test and 0.007037 using the normal approximation which is equivalent to the chi-square test for independence. Note that the odds ratio is 0.340659.

We will now see how to analyze these data using logistic regression. The data must be entered into a database so that they can be processed. The following table shows how these data are rearranged and entered. These data have been entered into a database named 2BY2.

2By2 dataset (subset)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
You may follow along here by making the appropriate entries or load the completed template Example 3 by clicking on Open Example Template from the File menu of the Logistic Regression window.

1. Open the 2By2 dataset.
   - From the File menu of the NCSS Data window, select Open Example Data.
   - Click on the file 2By2.NCSS.
   - Click Open.

2. Open the Logistic Regression window.
   - Using the Analysis menu or the Procedure Navigator, find and select the Logistic Regression procedure.
   - On the menus, select File, then New Template. This will fill the procedure with the default template.

3. Specify the variables.
   - On the Logistic Regression window, select the Variables, Model tab.
   - Double-click in the Y box. This will bring up the variable selection window.
   - Select Response from the list of variables and then click Ok. Response will appear in the Y box.
   - Double-click in the Categorical X's box. This will bring up the variable selection window.
   - Select the variable Group from the list of variables and then click Ok. Group will appear in the Categorical X's box.
   - Click on the Default Recoding Scheme box and select Binary.
   - Double-click in the Frequency Variable box. This will bring up the variable selection window.
   - Select the variable Count from the list of variables and then click Ok. Count will appear in the Frequency Variable box.
   - Set the Priors box to Equal across Y Values.

4. Specify the reports.
   - Select the Reports tab.
   - Set the options Row Classification Report, Row Classification Probabilities Report, and Simple Residuals Report to None.
   - Check the Run Summary, Y Variable Analysis, Coefficient Significance Tests, Odds Ratios, Analysis of Deviance, and Log-Likelihood and R² reports. All other reports should be unchecked.

5. Run the procedure.
   - From the Run menu, select Run Procedure.
   - Selected portions of the output reports are shown below.

---

Logistic Regression Output

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run Summary</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Y Variable</td>
<td>Response</td>
<td>Rows Processed</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference Value</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Rows Used</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Y-Values</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Rows for Validation</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency Variable</td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>Rows X's Missing</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Numeric X Variables</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Rows Freq Miss. or 0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Categorical X Variables</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Rows Prediction Only</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final Log Likelihood</td>
<td>-94.23344</td>
<td>Unique Rows (Y and X's)</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model R²</td>
<td>0.06908</td>
<td>Sum of Frequencies</td>
<td>220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actual Convergence</td>
<td>2.559022E-11</td>
<td>Likelihood Iterations</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target Convergence</td>
<td>1E-06</td>
<td>Maximum Iterations</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model D.F.</td>
<td>Equal</td>
<td>Completion Status</td>
<td>Normal Completion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Priors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Y Variable Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Y Response</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Unique Rows (Y and X's)</th>
<th>Y Proportion</th>
<th>Y Prior</th>
<th>R² (Y vs Pred. Probability)</th>
<th>Percent Correctly Classified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.16364</td>
<td>0.50000</td>
<td>0.03302</td>
<td>75.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.83636</td>
<td>0.50000</td>
<td>0.03302</td>
<td>49.457</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>53.636</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Coefficient Significance Tests

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Independent Variable</th>
<th>Regression Coefficient b(i)</th>
<th>Standard Error Sb(i)</th>
<th>Wald Z-Value H₀: β=0</th>
<th>Wald P-Value</th>
<th>Odds Ratio Exp(b(i))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>0.68222</td>
<td>0.29814</td>
<td>2.288</td>
<td>0.02212</td>
<td>1.97826</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Group=&quot;B&quot;)</td>
<td>-1.07687</td>
<td>0.41218</td>
<td>-2.613</td>
<td>0.00898</td>
<td>0.34066</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Odds Ratios

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Independent Variable</th>
<th>Regression Coefficient (B or Beta)</th>
<th>Odds Ratio Exp(B)</th>
<th>Lower 95% Confidence Limit</th>
<th>Upper 95% Confidence Limit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>0.68222</td>
<td>1.97826</td>
<td>1.0282</td>
<td>3.54863</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Group=&quot;B&quot;)</td>
<td>-1.07687</td>
<td>0.34066</td>
<td>0.15187</td>
<td>0.76413</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Analysis of Deviance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>DF</th>
<th>Deviance</th>
<th>Increase From Model Deviance (Chi Square)</th>
<th>P-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>196.08640</td>
<td>7.61951</td>
<td>0.00577</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GROUP</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>196.08640</td>
<td>7.61951</td>
<td>0.00577</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None(Model)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>188.46689</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Log Likelihood & R² Section

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term(s) Omitted</th>
<th>DF</th>
<th>Log Likelihood</th>
<th>R² of Remaining Term(s)</th>
<th>Reduction From Model R²</th>
<th>Reduction From Saturated R²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-98.04320</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.06908</td>
<td>1.00000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-98.04320</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.93092</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None(Model)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-94.23344</td>
<td>0.06908</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None(Saturated)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-42.89226</td>
<td>1.00000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Although a casual comparison between this report and that of the Two Proportion procedure shows little in common, a more detailed report shows many similarities. First of all, notice that the significance level of the test of GROUP in the Analysis of Deviance Section of 0.00577 compares very closely with the 0.007037 from the chi-square test. Also notice that the odds ratios from both reports round to 0.34066. The confidence limits of these two reports are not exactly the same, but they are close.

To summarize the logistic regression analysis, we can conclude that there is a significant relationship between response and group.

This example has shown the similarities between these two approaches to the analysis of two proportions. Usually, you would analyze these data using the two proportions approach. However, that approach is not as easily extended to the case of several independent variables including a mixture of categorical and numeric.
Example 4 – Logit Model Validation with BMDP PR

This example will serve three purposes. First of all, it will be the first example of a dataset whose Y variable has more than two outcomes. Second, it will be an example of what the output looks like when all of the independent variables are categorical. And finally, it will validate the procedure by allowing the comparison of the NCSS output with that of the BMDP PR program which also performs multiple-group logistic regression. This example comes from the BMDP manual. The database containing the data used in this example is named NC Criminal.

The NC Criminal dataset contains data that will be used to study the relationship between a cases verdict and three factors: race, county, and type of offense. The variables that are on the database are as follows.

**Count** contains the number of individuals with the characteristics specified on that row.

**Verdict** is the response variable. Three outcomes are given in the database: G for guilty, NG for not guilty, and NP for not prosecuted.

**Race** gives the race of the individual. It has two values: A and B.

**County** refers to county in North Carolina in which the offense was considered. The possible values are: Durham and Orange.

**Offense** contains the particular offense that the individual was accused of. These are Drunk, Violence, Property, Major Traffic, and Speeding.

You can view the data by loading the NC Criminal dataset, so they will not be displayed here.

You may follow along here by making the appropriate entries or load the completed template Example 4 by clicking on Open Example Template from the File menu of the Logistic Regression window.

1. **Open the NC Criminal dataset.**
   - From the File menu of the NCSS Data window, select Open Example Data.
   - Click on the file NC Criminal.NCSS.
   - Click Open.

2. **Open the Logistic Regression window.**
   - Using the Analysis menu or the Procedure Navigator, find and select the Logistic Regression procedure.
   - On the menus, select File, then New Template. This will fill the procedure with the default template.

3. **Specify the variables.**
   - On the Logistic Regression window, select the Variables, Model tab.
   - Enter Verdict in the Y box.
   - Enter NP in the Reference Value box.
   - Enter the Race(B;A) County(B;Durham) Offense(B;Drunk) in the Categorical X’s box. Note that the values in parentheses specify binary recoding and the reference value for each variable. These are specified so that the output will match that found in BMDP.
   - Double-click in the Frequency Variable box. This will bring up the variable selection window.
   - Select the variable Count from the list of variables and then click Ok. Count will appear in the Frequency Variable box.
   - Set the Priors to Ni/N. This indicates that the outcome frequencies found in the data will be used as the prior probabilities of outcome membership.

4. **Specify the reports.**
   - Select the Reports tab.
   - Set the options Row Classification Report, Row Classification Probabilities Report, and Simple Residuals Report to None.
   - Check the Run Summary, Y Variable Summary, Coefficient Significance Tests, Analysis of Deviance, and Log-Likelihood and R² reports. All other reports should be unchecked.
Run the procedure.
  - From the Run menu, select Run Procedure.

Selected portions of the output reports are shown next.

### Logistic Regression Output

#### Run Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Y Variable</td>
<td>Verdict</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference Value</td>
<td>NP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Y-Values</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency Variable</td>
<td>Count</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Numeric X Variables</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Categorical X Variables</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final Log Likelihood</td>
<td>-408.29185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model R²</td>
<td>0.69779</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actual Convergence</td>
<td>4.751859E-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target Convergence</td>
<td>1E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model D.F.</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Priors</td>
<td>Ni/N</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rows Processed</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rows Used</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rows for Validation</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rows X's Missing</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rows Freq Miss. or 0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rows Prediction Only</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unique Rows (Y and X's)</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum of Frequencies</td>
<td>615</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Likelihood Iterations</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Iterations</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completion Status</td>
<td>Normal Completion</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Y Variable Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Verdict</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Unique Rows (Y and X's)</th>
<th>Y Proportion</th>
<th>Y Prior</th>
<th>R² (Y vs Pred. Probability)</th>
<th>Percent Correctly Classified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>445</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.72358</td>
<td>0.72358</td>
<td>0.17107</td>
<td>93.933</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NG</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.20000</td>
<td>0.20000</td>
<td>0.10397</td>
<td>20.325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NP</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.07642</td>
<td>0.07642</td>
<td>0.06628</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>615</td>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>72.033</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Coefficient Significance Tests

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Independent Variable</th>
<th>Regression Coefficient b(i)</th>
<th>Standard Error Sb(i)</th>
<th>Wald Z-Value H0: β=0</th>
<th>Wald P-Value</th>
<th>Odds Ratio Exp(b(i))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intercept G</td>
<td>2.82983</td>
<td>0.44457</td>
<td>6.365</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>16.94253</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NG</td>
<td>1.24012</td>
<td>0.48781</td>
<td>2.542</td>
<td>0.01102</td>
<td>3.45604</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Race=&quot;B&quot;)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>0.26083</td>
<td>0.33984</td>
<td>0.767</td>
<td>0.44279</td>
<td>1.29800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NG</td>
<td>-0.10324</td>
<td>0.36248</td>
<td>-0.285</td>
<td>0.77579</td>
<td>0.90191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(County=&quot;Orange&quot;)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>-0.89593</td>
<td>0.33719</td>
<td>-2.657</td>
<td>0.00788</td>
<td>0.40823</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NG</td>
<td>-0.12175</td>
<td>0.36036</td>
<td>-0.338</td>
<td>0.73547</td>
<td>0.88537</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Offense=&quot;MjTraffic&quot;)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>-0.21380</td>
<td>0.62893</td>
<td>-0.340</td>
<td>0.73390</td>
<td>0.80751</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NG</td>
<td>0.48012</td>
<td>0.67038</td>
<td>0.716</td>
<td>0.47387</td>
<td>1.61627</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Offense=&quot;Property&quot;)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>-0.91853</td>
<td>0.57784</td>
<td>-1.590</td>
<td>0.11193</td>
<td>0.39911</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NG</td>
<td>0.00928</td>
<td>0.61911</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.98804</td>
<td>1.00932</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Offense=&quot;Speed&quot;)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>0.49546</td>
<td>0.51245</td>
<td>0.967</td>
<td>0.33361</td>
<td>1.64126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NG</td>
<td>-0.26697</td>
<td>0.57599</td>
<td>-0.463</td>
<td>0.64301</td>
<td>0.76570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Offense=&quot;Violence&quot;)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>-2.23014</td>
<td>0.51372</td>
<td>-4.341</td>
<td>0.00001</td>
<td>0.10751</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NG</td>
<td>-0.57863</td>
<td>0.53748</td>
<td>-1.077</td>
<td>0.28168</td>
<td>0.56067</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The output format is similar to previous examples. Notice in the analysis of deviance section that the variable Race is not significant. That is, in these data, the race of the defendant is not related to the verdict.

The Coefficient Significance Tests report combines the two logistic regression equations on one report. This makes it a bit more complicated to read, but it allows a quick comparison to be made of the corresponding regression coefficients. For each independent variable, the regression coefficient from each equation is shown. Thus, 2.82983 is the intercept for the G equation and 1.24012 is the intercept for the NG equation. No coefficient is shown for NP because it is the reference value.

Also note that the definition of the binary variables is as before. Thus the independent variable County="Orange" refers to a binary variable that was generated from the County variable. This binary variable is one when the county value is Orange and zero otherwise.

Validation

In order to validate this module, the estimated regression coefficients and the log likelihood generated by the BMDP (refer to page 1165 of version 7.0 of the BMDP manual) are displayed below.

As you can see, these results match those displayed by NCSS exactly.
Example 5 – Logit Model with Interaction

This example continues with the analysis of the data given in Example 4. In that example, no interactions were included in the model. This example will include the two-way interactions in the model.

You may follow along here by making the appropriate entries or load the completed template Example 5 by clicking on Open Example Template from the File menu of the Logistic Regression window.

1. Open the NC Criminal dataset.
   - From the File menu of the NCSS Data window, select Open Example Data.
   - Click on the file NC Criminal.NCSS.
   - Click Open.

2. Open the Logistic Regression window.
   - Using the Analysis menu or the Procedure Navigator, find and select the Logistic Regression procedure.
   - On the menus, select File, then New Template. This will fill the procedure with the default template.

3. Specify the variables.
   - On the Logistic Regression window, select the Variables, Model tab.
   - Enter Verdict in the Y box.
   - Enter NP in the Reference Value box.
   - Enter the Race(B;A) County(B;Durham) Offense(B;Drunk) in the Categorical X’s box. Note that the values in parentheses specify binary recoding and the reference value for each variable.
   - Double-click in the Frequency Variable box. This will bring up the variable selection window.
   - Select the variable Count from the list of variables and then click Ok. Count will appear in the Frequency Variable box.

4. Specify the model
   - Set Terms to Up to 2-Way. This will include the two-way interactions in the model.
   - Set Priors to Ni/N. This indicates that the outcome frequencies will be used as the prior probabilities of outcome-group membership.

5. Specify the reports.
   - Select the Reports tab.
   - Set the options Row Classification Report, Row Classification Probabilities Report, and Simple Residuals Report to None.
   - Check the Run Summary, Y Variable Summary, Coefficient Significance Tests, Analysis of Deviance, and Log-Likelihood and $R^2$ reports. All other reports should be unchecked.

6. Run the procedure.
   - From the Run menu, select Run Procedure.
## Logistic Regression Output

### Coefficient Significance Tests

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Independent Variable</th>
<th>Regression Coefficient b(i)</th>
<th>Standard Error Sb(i)</th>
<th>Wald Z-Value H0: β=0</th>
<th>Wald P-Value</th>
<th>Odds Ratio Exp(b(i))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>2.00583</td>
<td>0.50400</td>
<td>3.980</td>
<td>0.00007</td>
<td>7.43225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>0.72258</td>
<td>0.57465</td>
<td>1.257</td>
<td>0.20860</td>
<td>2.05975</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Race=&quot;B&quot;)</td>
<td>1.44835</td>
<td>0.86924</td>
<td>1.666</td>
<td>0.09567</td>
<td>4.25608</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>-1.10628</td>
<td>1.08369</td>
<td>-1.021</td>
<td>0.30733</td>
<td>0.33079</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(County=&quot;Orange&quot;)</td>
<td>0.14731</td>
<td>1.15368</td>
<td>0.128</td>
<td>0.89840</td>
<td>1.15871</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>1.83395</td>
<td>1.18755</td>
<td>1.544</td>
<td>0.12251</td>
<td>6.25854</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Offense=&quot;MjTraffic&quot;)</td>
<td>-0.30745</td>
<td>1.10221</td>
<td>-0.279</td>
<td>0.78029</td>
<td>0.73532</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>-0.25450</td>
<td>1.23436</td>
<td>-0.206</td>
<td>0.83665</td>
<td>0.77531</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Offense=&quot;Property&quot;)</td>
<td>-0.72178</td>
<td>0.83542</td>
<td>-0.864</td>
<td>0.38760</td>
<td>0.48589</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NG</td>
<td>0.36757</td>
<td>0.89267</td>
<td>0.401</td>
<td>0.68874</td>
<td>1.42985</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Offense=&quot;Speed&quot;)</td>
<td>1.93682</td>
<td>1.08041</td>
<td>1.793</td>
<td>0.07303</td>
<td>6.93666</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>0.87254</td>
<td>1.19650</td>
<td>0.729</td>
<td>0.46586</td>
<td>2.39297</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Offense=&quot;Violence&quot;)</td>
<td>-0.15836</td>
<td>0.87409</td>
<td>-0.181</td>
<td>0.85624</td>
<td>0.85354</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>1.07460</td>
<td>0.91294</td>
<td>1.177</td>
<td>0.23916</td>
<td>2.92882</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Race=&quot;B&quot;)*(County=&quot;Orange&quot;)</td>
<td>0.19528</td>
<td>0.81517</td>
<td>0.240</td>
<td>0.81067</td>
<td>1.21566</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>0.83286</td>
<td>0.85899</td>
<td>0.970</td>
<td>0.33225</td>
<td>2.29900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Race=&quot;B&quot;)*(Offense=&quot;MjTraffic&quot;)</td>
<td>-1.17876</td>
<td>1.35078</td>
<td>-0.873</td>
<td>0.38285</td>
<td>0.30766</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>1.16592</td>
<td>1.50638</td>
<td>0.774</td>
<td>0.43894</td>
<td>3.20886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Race=&quot;B&quot;)*(Offense=&quot;Property&quot;)</td>
<td>-0.83367</td>
<td>1.27452</td>
<td>-0.654</td>
<td>0.51305</td>
<td>0.43445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NG</td>
<td>1.35214</td>
<td>1.42888</td>
<td>0.946</td>
<td>0.34400</td>
<td>3.86569</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Race=&quot;B&quot;)*(Offense=&quot;Speed&quot;)</td>
<td>-1.78987</td>
<td>1.25551</td>
<td>-1.426</td>
<td>0.15398</td>
<td>0.16698</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>0.24862</td>
<td>1.45010</td>
<td>0.171</td>
<td>0.86387</td>
<td>1.28225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Race=&quot;B&quot;)*(Offense=&quot;Violence&quot;)</td>
<td>-2.31322</td>
<td>1.19041</td>
<td>-1.943</td>
<td>0.05199</td>
<td>0.09894</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>0.51640</td>
<td>1.30133</td>
<td>0.397</td>
<td>0.69150</td>
<td>1.67598</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(County=&quot;Orange&quot;)*(Offense=&quot;MjTraffic&quot;)</td>
<td>0.45137</td>
<td>1.52019</td>
<td>0.297</td>
<td>0.76653</td>
<td>1.57046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>-0.53668</td>
<td>1.61710</td>
<td>-0.332</td>
<td>0.73998</td>
<td>0.58469</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(County=&quot;Orange&quot;)*(Offense=&quot;Property&quot;)</td>
<td>0.04871</td>
<td>1.41697</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.97258</td>
<td>1.04992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>-2.10279</td>
<td>1.47544</td>
<td>-1.425</td>
<td>0.15410</td>
<td>0.12212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(County=&quot;Orange&quot;)*(Offense=&quot;Speed&quot;)</td>
<td>-1.39431</td>
<td>1.37573</td>
<td>-1.014</td>
<td>0.31082</td>
<td>0.24800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>-2.66093</td>
<td>1.48387</td>
<td>-1.793</td>
<td>0.07294</td>
<td>0.06988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(County=&quot;Orange&quot;)*(Offense=&quot;Violence&quot;)</td>
<td>-2.42314</td>
<td>1.36627</td>
<td>-1.774</td>
<td>0.07614</td>
<td>0.08864</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>-3.93664</td>
<td>1.38198</td>
<td>-2.849</td>
<td>0.00439</td>
<td>0.01951</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Analysis of Deviance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term Omitted</th>
<th>DF</th>
<th>Deviance All</th>
<th>Increase From Model Deviance (Chi²)</th>
<th>P-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>925.59805</td>
<td>146.82239</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>797.83870</td>
<td>19.06304</td>
<td>0.00007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>788.31126</td>
<td>9.53560</td>
<td>0.00850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offense</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>802.98614</td>
<td>24.21048</td>
<td>0.00211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race*County</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>780.53878</td>
<td>1.76312</td>
<td>0.41414</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race*Offense</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>795.98619</td>
<td>17.21053</td>
<td>0.02799</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County*Offense</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>798.81172</td>
<td>20.03607</td>
<td>0.01020</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Log Likelihood & $R^2$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term(s) Omitted</th>
<th>DF</th>
<th>Log Likelihood</th>
<th>$R^2$ Reduction From Remaining Term(s)</th>
<th>Reduction From Model $R^2$</th>
<th>Reduction From Saturated $R^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-462.79903</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-398.91935</td>
<td>0.81778</td>
<td>0.12202</td>
<td>0.18222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-394.15563</td>
<td>0.87877</td>
<td>0.06104</td>
<td>0.12123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offense</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-401.49307</td>
<td>0.78483</td>
<td>0.15497</td>
<td>0.21517</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race*County</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-390.26939</td>
<td>0.92852</td>
<td>0.01129</td>
<td>0.07148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race*Offense</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-397.99309</td>
<td>0.82964</td>
<td>0.11016</td>
<td>0.17036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County*Offense</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-399.40586</td>
<td>0.81155</td>
<td>0.12825</td>
<td>0.18845</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None(Model)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>-389.38783</td>
<td>0.93980</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.06020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None(Saturated)</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>-384.68554</td>
<td>1.00000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notice how the interactions are labeled. For example, the variable labeled $(Race=“B”) *(Offense=“Violence”) is the interaction variable is generated by multiplying the binary variable defined by $(Race=“B”) with the binary variable defined by $(Offense=“Violence”). The resulting variable is one if both of these conditions are true and zero otherwise.

Note that the $R^2$ is now 0.93980, so this model is almost as good as the saturated model.

Looking at the analysis of deviance table, we note that all terms are significant except for the Race*County interaction.
Example 6 – Odds Ratios for Categorical X’s

Lachin (2000) pages 90, 91, and 257 presents an analysis of hypothetical data from an ulcer healing clinical trial conducted to study the effectiveness of a drug over a placebo. There were 100 patients assigned to the group receiving the drug and another 100 patients assigned to the group receiving the placebo. The ulcers were stratified into one of three types: 1. Acid-dependent, 2. Drug dependent, and 3. Intermediate. Each ulcer was followed for a period of time after which it was considered healed or not. The data for this experiment are given below. These data have been entered into a database named Lachin91.

Lachin91 dataset (subset)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Ulcer</th>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Healed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

You may follow along here by making the appropriate entries or load the completed template Example 6 by clicking on Open Example Template from the File menu of the Logistic Regression window.

1 Open the Lachin91 dataset.
   • From the File menu of the NCSS Data window, select Open Example Data.
   • Click on the file Lachin91.NCSS.
   • Click Open.

2 Open the Logistic Regression window.
   • Using the Analysis menu or the Procedure Navigator, find and select the Logistic Regression procedure.
   • On the menus, select File, then New Template. This will fill the procedure with the default template.

3 Specify the variables.
   • On the Logistic Regression window, select the Variables, Model tab.
   • Select Healed in the Y box. Note that the Reference Value is blank so “0” will be the reference value.
   • Enter Ulcer, Drug in the Categorical X’s box. Note that the Default Recoding Scheme is set to Binary and the Default Reference Value is set to First after Sorting.
   • Double-click in the Frequency Variable box. This will bring up the variable selection window.
   • Select the variable Count from the list of variables and then click Ok. Count will appear in the Frequency Variable box.
   • Set Priors to Equal across Y Values.

4 Specify the reports.
   • Select the Reports tab.
   • Set the options Row Classification Report, Row Classification Probabilities Report, and Simple Residuals Report to None.
   • Check the Run Summary, Coefficient Significance Tests, Odds Ratios, and Analysis of Deviance reports. All other reports should be unchecked.
5 Run the procedure.
   - From the Run menu, select Run Procedure.

Selected portions of the output reports are shown below.

### Logistic Regression Output

#### Run Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Y Variable</td>
<td>Healed</td>
<td>Rows Processed</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference Value</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Rows Used</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Y-Values</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Rows for Validation</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency Variable</td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>Rows X's Missing</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Numeric X Variables</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Rows Freq Miss. or 0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Categorical X Variables</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Rows Prediction Only</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final Log Likelihood</td>
<td>-134.84531</td>
<td>Unique Rows (Y and X's)</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model R²</td>
<td>0.54106</td>
<td>Sum of Frequencies</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actual Convergence</td>
<td>1.10275E-10</td>
<td>Likelihood Iterations</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target Convergence</td>
<td>1E-06</td>
<td>Maximum Iterations</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model D.F.</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Completion Status</td>
<td>Normal Completion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Priors</td>
<td>Equal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Coefficient Significance Tests

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Independent Variable</th>
<th>Regression Coefficient</th>
<th>Standard Error</th>
<th>Wald Z-Value</th>
<th>Wald P-Value</th>
<th>Odds Ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>-0.48951</td>
<td>0.21833</td>
<td>-2.242</td>
<td>0.02496</td>
<td>0.61293</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Ulcer=2)</td>
<td>0.83527</td>
<td>0.50247</td>
<td>1.662</td>
<td>0.09645</td>
<td>2.30543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Ulcer=3)</td>
<td>0.32777</td>
<td>0.30424</td>
<td>1.077</td>
<td>0.28132</td>
<td>1.38787</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Drug=1)</td>
<td>0.50234</td>
<td>0.28845</td>
<td>1.742</td>
<td>0.08159</td>
<td>1.65259</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Odds Ratios Report

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Independent Variable</th>
<th>Regression Coefficient</th>
<th>Odds Ratio</th>
<th>Lower 95% Confidence Limit</th>
<th>Upper 95% Confidence Limit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>-0.48951</td>
<td>0.61293</td>
<td>0.39955</td>
<td>0.94027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Ulcer=2)</td>
<td>0.83527</td>
<td>2.30543</td>
<td>0.86109</td>
<td>6.17243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Ulcer=3)</td>
<td>0.32777</td>
<td>1.38787</td>
<td>0.76451</td>
<td>2.51949</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Drug=1)</td>
<td>0.50234</td>
<td>1.65259</td>
<td>0.93894</td>
<td>2.90864</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Analysis of Deviance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>DF</th>
<th>Deviance</th>
<th>Increase From Model Deviance (Chi²)</th>
<th>P-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>276.27807</td>
<td>6.58746</td>
<td>0.08628</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ulcer</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>272.87155</td>
<td>3.18094</td>
<td>0.20383</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>272.74521</td>
<td>3.05460</td>
<td>0.09051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None (Model)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>269.69061</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note that neither Drug nor Ulcer is statistically significant at the 0.05 level using either the deviance tests in the Analysis of Deviance table or the Wald tests in the Coefficient Significance Tests section. From the Odds Ratios section, we see that the odds of healing are increased 1.65259 when the drug is administered.