

Chapter 107

Conditional Power of Superiority by a Margin Tests for One Proportion

Introduction

In sequential designs, one or more intermediate analyses of the emerging data are conducted to evaluate whether the experiment should be continued. This may be done to conserve resources or to allow a data monitoring board to evaluate safety and efficacy when subjects are entered in a staggered fashion over a long period of time. *Conditional power* (a frequentist concept) is the probability that the final result will be significant, given the data obtained up to the time of the interim look. *Predictive power* (a Bayesian concept) is the result of averaging the conditional power over the posterior distribution of effect size. Both of these methods fall under the heading of *stochastic curtailment* techniques. Further reading about the theory of these methods can be found in Jennison and Turnbull (2000), Chow and Chang (2007), Chang (2008), Proschan et. al (2006), and Dmitrienko et. al (2005).

This program module computes conditional and predicted power for the case when a one-sample z -test is used to test whether a population proportion is greater than or less than a specific superiority bound.

Technical Details

All details and assumptions usually made when using a one-sample superiority by a margin z -test continue to be in force here.

Conditional Power

The power of an experiment indicates whether a study is likely to result in useful results, given the sample size. Low power means that the study is *futile*: little chance of statistical significance even though the alternative hypothesis is true. A study that is futile should not be started. However, futility may be determined only after the study has started. When this happens, the study is *curtailed*.

The futility of a study that is underway can be determined by calculating its *conditional power*: the probability of statistical significance at the completion of the study given the data obtained so far.

It is important to note that conditional power at the beginning of the study before any data are collected is equal to the unconditional power. So, conditional power will be high even if early results are negative. Hence, conditional power will seldom result in study curtailment very early in the study.

Conditional Power of Superiority by a Margin Tests for One Proportion

From Jennison and Turnbull (2000) pages 205 to 208, the general upper one-sided conditional power at stage k for rejecting a null hypothesis about a parameter θ at the end of the study, given the observed test statistic, Z_k , is computed as

$$P_{uk}(\theta) = \Phi\left(\frac{Z_k\sqrt{I_k} - z_{1-\alpha}\sqrt{I_K} + \theta(I_K - I_k)}{\sqrt{I_K - I_k}}\right),$$

and the general lower one-sided conditional power at stage k is computed as

$$P_{lk}(\theta) = \Phi\left(\frac{-Z_k\sqrt{I_k} - z_{1-\alpha}\sqrt{I_K} - \theta(I_K - I_k)}{\sqrt{I_K - I_k}}\right),$$

where

θ = the parameter being tested by the hypothesis

k = an interim stage at which the conditional power is computed ($k = 1, \dots, K - 1$)

K = the stage at which the study is terminated and the final test computed

Z_k = the test statistic calculated from the observed data that has been collected up to stage k

I_k = the information level at stage k

I_K = the information level at the end of the study

$z_{1-\alpha}$ = the standard normal value for the test with a type I error rate of α .

If we define P_s as the population reference, standard, or baseline proportion, SM as the superiority margin, and P_1 as the actual proportion under the alternative hypothesis, then the parameter θ to test the one-sided superiority by a margin alternative hypotheses of $H_1: P > P_0$ (higher proportions better with $P_0 = P_s + |SM|$) or $H_1: P < P_0$ (higher proportions worse with $P_0 = P_s - |SM|$) and other conditional power calculation components as outlined in Chang (2008) page 70 are

$\theta = P_1 - P_0$ (the expected difference under the alternative hypothesis)

$Z_k = (p_k - P_0)\sqrt{\hat{I}_k}$ (the z -statistic computed from the observed data)

$I_k = n_k / \sigma^2$ (the interim information level)

$I_K = N / \sigma^2$ (the final information level)

where

p_k is the sample proportion, estimating P_1 at stage k

\hat{I}_k is the estimated information from the sample at stage k

n_k is the sample size at stage k

N is the total sample size

$\sigma^2 = \bar{p}(1 - \bar{p})$ with $\bar{p} = (P_1 + P_0)/2$.

Computing conditional power requires you to set P_0 and P_1 . Their values can come from the values used during the planning of the study, from similar studies, or from estimates made from the data that has emerged.

Futility Index

The *futility index* is $1 - P_k(\theta)|H_1$. The study may be stopped if this index is above 0.8 or 0.9 (that is, if conditional power falls below 0.2 or 0.1).

Predictive Power

Predictive power (a Bayesian concept) is the result of averaging the conditional power over the posterior distribution of effect size. From Jennison and Turnbull (2000) pages 210 to 213, the general upper one-sided predictive power at stage k is given by

$$P_{uk} = \Phi \left(\frac{Z_k \sqrt{I_K} - z_{1-\alpha} \sqrt{I_k}}{\sqrt{I_K - I_k}} \right),$$

and the general lower one-sided predictive power at stage k is given by

$$P_{lk} = \Phi \left(\frac{-Z_k \sqrt{I_K} - z_{1-\alpha} \sqrt{I_k}}{\sqrt{I_K - I_k}} \right),$$

with all terms defined as in the equations for conditional power.

Procedure Options

This section describes the options that are specific to this procedure. These are located on the Design tab. For more information about the options of other tabs, go to the Procedure Window chapter.

Design Tab

The Design tab contains most of the parameters and options that you will be concerned with.

Test

Higher Proportions Are

Use this option to specify the direction of the superiority by a margin test.

If Higher Proportions are “Better”, the alternative hypothesis is $H_1: P > P_0$.

If Higher Proportions are “Worse”, the alternative hypothesis is $H_1: P < P_0$.

Alpha

Alpha

This option specifies one or more values for the probability of a type-I error at the end of the study. A type-I error occurs when a true null hypothesis is rejected.

Values must be between zero and one. Historically, the value of 0.05 has been used for two-sided tests and 0.025 for one-sided tests.

You may enter a single value or a range of values such as *0.01 0.05 0.10* or *0.01 to 0.10 by 0.01*.

Conditional Power of Superiority by a Margin Tests for One Proportion

Sample Size

N (Target Sample Size)

This option specifies one or more values of the target sample size, the total number of subjects planned for the study. This value must be an integer greater than one. Note that you may enter a list of values using the syntax *50,100,150,200,250* or *50 to 250 by 50*.

nk (Sample Size at Look k)

Enter the sample size obtained through look k. If this value is greater than N, the value of N is increased to this amount.

Effect Size – Proportions

P0 (Superiority Proportion)

This is the bound of the superiority by a margin test hypothesis.

If SM is the superiority margin and Ps is the reference, standard, or baseline proportion, then when Higher Proportions are “Better”, the alternative hypothesis is $H1: P > P0$, with $P0 = Ps + |SM|$ and $P0 < P1$. When Higher Proportions are “Worse”, the alternative hypothesis is $H1: P < P0$, with $P0 = Ps - |SM|$ and $P0 > P1$.

P1 (Actual Proportion to Detect)

This is the actual proportion at which conditional power is calculated. Care should be taken that this value is consistent with the alternative hypothesis.

When Higher Proportions are “Better”, $P1 > P0$. When Higher Proportions are “Worse”, $P1 < P0$.

Effect Size – Current Test Statistic

Zk (Current Test Statistic)

Enter the value of the z-statistic calculated from the data obtained through stage k. This value may be positive or negative. Typically, the z-statistic ranges between -5 and 5.

Example 1 – Computing Conditional Power

Suppose a study has been planned and is to be analyzed using a one-sided superiority by a margin z -test at an alpha of 0.025. The reference proportion is known to be 0.5 and the test will have a superiority margin of 0.05. The test will have a lower bound of $P_0 = P_s + SM = 0.5 + 0.05 = 0.55$. They would like to computer conditional power for an actual proportion of $P_1 = 0.65$. The sample size is 50. An interim analysis will be run after half the data have been collected. The data monitoring board would like to have the conditional power calculated for z -values of 1.0, 1.5, 2.0, 2.5, and 3.0.

Setup

This section presents the values of each of the parameters needed to run this example. First, from the PASS Home window, load the **Conditional Power of Superiority by a Margin Tests for One Proportion** procedure window by expanding **Proportions**, then **One Proportion**, then clicking on **Conditional Power**, and then clicking on **Conditional Power of Superiority by a Margin Tests for One Proportion**. You may make the appropriate entries as listed below or open **Example 1** by going to the **File** menu and choosing **Open Example Template**.

<u>Option</u>	<u>Value</u>
Design Tab	
Higher Proportions Are	Better (H1: P > P0)
Alpha.....	0.025
N (Target Sample Size)	50
nk (Sample Size at Look k).....	25
P0 (Superiority Proportion)	0.55
P1 (Actual Proportion to Detect).....	0.65
Zk (Current Test Statistic).....	1 1.5 2 2.5 3

Annotated Output

Click the Calculate button to perform the calculations and generate the following output.

Numeric Results

Numeric Results for One-Sample Z-Test

Hypotheses: $H_0: P \leq P_0$ vs. $H_1: P > P_0$

Cond. Power	Pred. Power	Total Sample Size N	Current Sample Size nk	Super. Prop P0	Actual Prop P1	Diff P1-P0	Test Statistic Zk	Alpha	Futility
0.22627	0.29262	50	25	0.550	0.650	0.100	1.000	0.025	0.77373
0.40083	0.56409	50	25	0.550	0.650	0.100	1.500	0.025	0.59917
0.59825	0.80743	50	25	0.550	0.650	0.100	2.000	0.025	0.40175
0.77302	0.94244	50	25	0.550	0.650	0.100	2.500	0.025	0.22698
0.89413	0.98878	50	25	0.550	0.650	0.100	3.000	0.025	0.10587

References

Jennison, C., and Turnbull, B.W. 2000. Group Sequential Methods with Applications to Clinical Trials. Chapman & Hall/CRC. New York.

Proschan, M., Lan, K.K.G., Wittes, J.T. 2006. Statistical Monitoring of Clinical Trials. Springer. New York.

Chang, Mark. 2008. Classical and Adaptive Clinical Trial Designs. John Wiley & Sons. Hoboken, New Jersey.

Conditional Power of Superiority by a Margin Tests for One Proportion

Report Definitions

Conditional Power is the probability of rejecting a false null hypothesis at the end of the study given the data that have emerged so far.

Predictive Power is the result of averaging the conditional power over the posterior distribution of the effect size.

N is the anticipated total sample size.

n_k is the sample size obtained through stage k.

P_0 is the superiority proportion used to construct the hypotheses.

P_1 is the actual proportion to detect under the alternative hypothesis at which conditional power is calculated.

$P_1 - P_0$ is the proportion difference that is to be detected by the completed study.

Z_k is the value of the test statistic from the observed data at stage k.

Alpha is the probability of rejecting a true null hypothesis.


Futility is one minus the conditional power. A value greater than 0.9 or 0.8 indicates the study should be stopped because there is little chance of achieving statistical significance.

Summary Statements

The first 25 of the planned 50 subjects achieve 22.627% conditional power to detect a difference of $P_1 - P_0 = 0.100$ using a superiority by a margin z-test with a bound of $\mu_0 = 0.550$, an actual proportion of $\mu_1 = 0.650$, and a significance level of 0.025. The z-value of the data that have emerged so far is 1.000. The futility index is 0.77373.

This report shows the values of each of the parameters, one scenario per row. The definitions of each column are given in the Report Definitions section.

Plots Section



This plot shows the relationship between conditional power and Z_k .

Example 2 – Validation

We could not find an example of a conditional power calculation for a one-sample superiority by a margin test in the literature. Since the calculations are relatively simple, we will validate the calculation of the third scenario of Example 1 by hand.

In this case

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_k &= n_k / \sigma^2 & I_K &= N / \sigma^2 \\
 &= \frac{25}{0.6(1-0.6)} & &= \frac{50}{0.6(1-0.6)} \\
 &= 104.1666667 & &= 208.3333333
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 P_{uk}(\theta) &= \Phi\left(\frac{Z_k\sqrt{I_k} - z_{1-\alpha}\sqrt{I_K} + \theta(I_K - I_k)}{\sqrt{I_K - I_k}}\right) \\
 &= \Phi\left(\frac{2.0\sqrt{104.1666667} - (1.959964)\sqrt{208.3333333} + (0.65 - 0.55)(208.3333333 - 104.1666667)}{\sqrt{208.3333333 - 104.1666667}}\right) \\
 &= \Phi\left(\frac{2.5394376}{10.2062073}\right) \\
 &= \Phi(0.2488131) \\
 &= 0.5982473
 \end{aligned}$$

This value matches the third line of the report in Example 1.